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#### Abstract
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## 1. Introduction

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measurable space consisting of a set $\Omega$, a $\sigma$ - algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of parts of $\Omega$ and a countably additive and positive measure $\mu$ on $\mathcal{A}$ with values in $\mathbb{R} \cup\{\infty\}$.

For a $\mu$-measurable function $w: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $w(x) \geq 0$ for $\mu$ - a.e. (almost every) $x \in \Omega$, consider the Lebesgue space $L_{w}(\Omega, \mu):=\{f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f$
is $\mu$-measurable and $\left.\int_{\Omega} w(x)|f(x)| d \mu(x)<\infty\right\}$. For simplicity of notation we write everywhere in the sequel $\int_{\Omega} w d \mu$ instead of $\int_{\Omega} w(x) d \mu(x)$.

An useful result that is used to provide simpler upper bounds for the difference in Jensen's inequality is the Gruss' inequality.

We recall now some facts related to this famous result.
If $f, g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are $\mu$-measurable functions and $f, g, f g \in L_{w}(\Omega, \mu)$, then we may consider the Čebyšev functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{w}(f, g):=\int_{\Omega} w f g d \mu-\int_{\Omega} w f d \mu \int_{\Omega} w g d \mu \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result is known in the literature as the Grüss inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{w}(f, g)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}(\Gamma-\gamma)(\Delta-\delta) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\infty<\gamma \leq f(x) \leq \Gamma<\infty, \quad-\infty<\delta \leq g(x) \leq \Delta<\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mu$ - a.e. a. $x \in \Omega$.
The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is sharp in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a smaller quantity.

Note that if $\Omega=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\mu$ is the discrete measure on $\Omega$, then we obtain the discrete Grüss inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i} y_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} y_{i}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}(\Gamma-\gamma)(\Delta-\delta) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $\gamma \leq x_{i} \leq \Gamma, \delta \leq y_{i} \leq \Delta$ for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $w_{i} \geq 0$ with $W_{n}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}=1$.

With the above assumptions, if $f \in L_{w}(\Omega, \mu)$ then we may define

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{w}(f):=D_{w, 1}(f):=\int_{\Omega} w\left|f-\int_{\Omega} w f d \mu\right| d \mu \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 2002, Cerone \& Dragomir [5] have obtained the following refinement of the Grüss inequality (2):

Theorem 1.1. Let $w, f, g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $\mu$-measurable functions with $w \geq 0$ $\mu-$ a.e. (almost everywhere) on $\Omega$ and $\int_{\Omega} w d \mu=1$. If $f, g, f g \in L_{w}(\Omega, \mu)$ and there exists the constants $\delta, \Delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\infty<\delta \leq g(x) \leq \Delta<\infty \text { for } \mu-\text { a.e. } x \in \Omega \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{w}(f, g)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\Delta-\delta) D_{w}(f) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is sharp in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a smaller quantity.
Remark 1.2. The inequality (7) was obtained for the particular case $\Omega=[a, b]$ and the uniform weight $w(t)=1, t \in[a, b]$ by X. L. Cheng and J. Sun in [7]. However, in that paper the authors did not prove the sharpness of the constant $\frac{1}{2}$.

For $f \in L_{p, w}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu):=\left\{f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \int_{\Omega} w|f|^{p} d \mu<\infty\right\}, p \geq 1$ we may also define

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{w, p}(f):=\left[\int_{\Omega} w\left|f-\int_{\Omega} w f d \mu\right|^{p} d \mu\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}=\left\|f-\int_{\Omega} w f d \mu\right\|_{\Omega, p} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\Omega, p}$ is the usual $p$-norm on $L_{p, w}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, namely,

$$
\|h\|_{\Omega, p}:=\left(\int_{\Omega} w|h|^{p} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p \geq 1
$$

Using Hölder's inequality we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{w, 1}(f) \leq D_{w, p}(f) \text { for } p \geq 1, f \in L_{p, w}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, in particular for $p=2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{w, 1}(f) \leq D_{w, 2}(f):=\left[\int_{\Omega} w f^{2} d \mu-\left(\int_{\Omega} w f d \mu\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $f \in L_{2, w}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$.
For $f \in L_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu):=\left\{f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R},\|f\|_{\Omega, \infty}:=\right.$ ess $\left.\sup _{x \in \Omega}|f(x)|<\infty\right\}$ we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{w, p}(f) \leq D_{w, \infty}(f):=\left\|f-\int_{\Omega} w f d \mu\right\|_{\Omega, \infty} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following corollary may be useful in practice.
Corollary 1.3. With the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|T_{w}(f, g)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\Delta-\delta) D_{w}(f)  \tag{12}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(\Delta-\delta) D_{w, p}(f) \quad \text { if } f \in L_{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu), 1<p<\infty ; \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(\Delta-\delta) D_{w, \infty}(f) \quad \text { if } f \in L_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 1.4. The inequalities in (12) are in order of increasing coarseness. If we assume that $-\infty<\gamma \leq f(x) \leq \Gamma<\infty$ for $\mu$ - a.e. $x \in \Omega$, then by the Grüss inequality for $g=f$ we have for $p=2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\int_{\Omega} w f^{2} d \mu-\left(\int_{\Omega} w f d \mu\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma-\gamma) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (12), we deduce the following sequence of inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T_{w}(f, g)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{2}(\Delta-\delta) \int_{\Omega} w\left|f-\int_{\Omega} w f d \mu\right| d \mu  \tag{14}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(\Delta-\delta)\left[\int_{\Omega} w f^{2} d \mu-\left(\int_{\Omega} w f d \mu\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}(\Delta-\delta)(\Gamma-\gamma)
\end{align*}
$$

for $f, g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \mu$ - measurable functions and so that $-\infty<\gamma \leq f(x)<\Gamma<$ $\infty,-\infty<\delta \leq g(x) \leq \Delta<\infty$ for $\mu$ - a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Thus, the inequality (14) is a refinement of Grüss' inequality (2).

In order to provide a reverse of the celebrated Jensen's integral inequality for convex functions, S.S. Dragomir obtained in 2002 [14] the following result:

Theorem 1.5. Let $\Phi:[m, M] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable convex function on $(m, M)$ and $f: \Omega \rightarrow[m, M]$ so that $\Phi \circ f, f, \Phi^{\prime} \circ f,\left(\Phi^{\prime} \circ f\right) f \in L_{w}(\Omega, \mu)$, where $w \geq 0 \mu$-a.e. on $\Omega$ with $\int_{\Omega} w d \mu=1$. Then we have the inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \int_{\Omega} w(\Phi \circ f) d \mu-\Phi\left(\int_{\Omega} w f d \mu\right)  \tag{15}\\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} w\left(\Phi^{\prime} \circ f\right) f d \mu-\int_{\Omega} w\left(\Phi^{\prime} \circ f\right) d \mu \int_{\Omega} w f d \mu \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\Phi^{\prime}(M)-\Phi^{\prime}(m)\right] \int_{\Omega} w\left|f-\int_{\Omega} w f d \mu\right| d \mu .
\end{align*}
$$

For a generalization of the first inequality when differentiability is not assumed and the derivative $\Phi^{\prime}$ is replaced with a selection $\varphi$ from the subdifferential $\partial \Phi$, see the paper [28] by C.P. Niculescu.

Remark 1.6. If $\mu(\Omega)<\infty$ and $\Phi \circ f, f, \Phi^{\prime} \circ f,\left(\Phi^{\prime} \circ f\right) \cdot f \in L(\Omega, \mu)$, then we have the inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega}(\Phi \circ f) d \mu-\Phi\left(\frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} f d \mu\right)  \tag{16}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega}\left(\Phi^{\prime} \circ f\right) f d \mu-\frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega}\left(\Phi^{\prime} \circ f\right) d \mu \cdot \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} f d \mu \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\Phi^{\prime}(M)-\Phi^{\prime}(m)\right] \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega}\left|f-\frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} f d \mu\right| d \mu
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 1.7. On making use of (15) and (14), one can state the following string of reverse inequalities for the Jensen's difference

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \int_{\Omega} w(\Phi \circ f) d \mu-\Phi\left(\int_{\Omega} w f d \mu\right)  \tag{17}\\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} w\left(\Phi^{\prime} \circ f\right) f d \mu-\int_{\Omega} w\left(\Phi^{\prime} \circ f\right) d \mu \int_{\Omega} w f d \mu \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\Phi^{\prime}(M)-\Phi^{\prime}(m)\right] \int_{\Omega} w\left|f-\int_{\Omega} w f d \mu\right| d \mu \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\Phi^{\prime}(M)-\Phi^{\prime}(m)\right]\left[\int_{\Omega} w f^{2} d \mu-\left(\int_{\Omega} w f d \mu\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\left[\Phi^{\prime}(M)-\Phi^{\prime}(m)\right](M-m) .
\end{align*}
$$

We notice that the inequality between the first, second and last term from (17) was proved in the general case of positive linear functionals in 2001 by S.S. Dragomir in [13].

The discrete case is as follows.
Let $\overline{\mathbf{a}}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), \overline{\mathbf{b}}=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right), \overline{\mathbf{p}}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ be $n$-tuples of real numbers with $p_{i} \geq 0(i \in\{1, \ldots, n\})$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1$. If $b \leq b_{i} \leq B, \quad i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then one has the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i} b_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} b_{i}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{2}(B-b) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left|a_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} a_{j}\right|  \tag{18}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(B-b)\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left|a_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} a_{j}\right|^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(B-b) \max _{i=1, n}^{1, n}\left|a_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} a_{j}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

where $1<p<\infty$. The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is sharp in the first inequality.

If more information about the vector $\overline{\mathbf{a}}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is available, namely, if there exists the constants $a$ and $A$ such that $a \leq a_{i} \leq A, \quad i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i} b_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} b_{i}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{2}(B-b) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left|a_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} a_{j}\right|  \tag{19}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(B-b)\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left|a_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} a_{j}\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}(B-b)(A-a)
\end{align*}
$$

with the constants $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{4}$ best possible.

Corollary 1.8. Let $\Phi:[m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable convex function on $(m, M)$. If $x_{i} \in[m, M]$ and $w_{i} \geq 0(i=1, \ldots, n)$ with $W_{n}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}=1$, then one has the reverse of Jensen's weighted discrete inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right)  \tag{20}\\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\Phi^{\prime}(M)-\Phi^{\prime}(m)\right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\left|x_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} x_{j}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 1.9. We notice that the inequality between the first and second term in (20) was proved in 1994 by Dragomir \& Ionescu, see [16].

[^0]Remark 1.10. On utilizing (20) and (19) we can state the string of inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right)  \tag{21}\\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\Phi^{\prime}(M)-\Phi^{\prime}(m)\right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\left|x_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} x_{j}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\Phi^{\prime}(M)-\Phi^{\prime}(m)\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\left[\Phi^{\prime}(M)-\Phi^{\prime}(m)\right](M-m)
\end{align*}
$$

We notice that the inequality between the first, second and last term in (21) was proved in 1999 by S.S. Dragomir in [12].

Motivated by the above results, a refinement and a new sharp reverse of Jensen's integral inequality for convex functions in terms of divided differences is obtained. Applications for means, the Hölder inequality and for $f$-divergence measures in information theory are also provided.

## 2. A refinement and a new reverse

For a real function $g:[m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and two distinct points $\alpha, \beta \in[m, M]$ we recall that the divided difference of $g$ in these points is defined by

$$
[\alpha, \beta ; g]:=\frac{g(\beta)-g(\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}
$$

In what follows, we assume that $w: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $w(x) \geq 0$ for $\mu$ - a.e. $x \in \Omega$, is a $\mu$-measurable function with $\int_{\Omega} w d \mu=1$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous convex function on the interval of real numbers $I$ and $m, M \in \mathbb{R}, m<M$ with $[m, M] \subset \stackrel{\circ}{I}$, $\stackrel{\circ}{I}$ the interior of $I$. If $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is $\mu$-measurable, satisfying the bounds

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\infty<m \leq f(x) \leq M<\infty \text { for } \mu-\text { a.e. } x \in \Omega \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and such that $f, \Phi \circ f \in L_{w}(\Omega, \mu)$, then by denoting

$$
\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}:=\int_{\Omega} w f d \mu \in[m, M]
$$

and assuming that $\bar{f}_{\Omega, w} \neq m, M$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega}\right| \Phi(f)-\Phi\left(\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right)\left|\operatorname{sgn}\left[f-\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right] w d \mu\right|  \tag{23}\\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}(\Phi \circ f) w d \mu-\Phi\left(\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left[\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}, M ; \Phi\right]-\left[m, \bar{f}_{\Omega, w} ; \Phi\right]\right) D_{w}(f) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left[\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}, M ; \Phi\right]-\left[m, \bar{f}_{\Omega, w} ; \Phi\right]\right) D_{w, 2}(f) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\left[\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}, M ; \Phi\right]-\left[m, \bar{f}_{\Omega, w} ; \Phi\right]\right)(M-m)
\end{align*}
$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ in the second inequality from (23) is best possible.
Proof. We recall that if $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous convex function on the interval of real numbers $I$ and $\alpha \in I$ then the divided difference function $\Phi_{\alpha}: I \backslash\{\alpha\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\Phi_{\alpha}(t):=[\alpha, t ; \Phi]:=\frac{\Phi(t)-\Phi(\alpha)}{t-\alpha}
$$

is monotonic nondecreasing on $I \backslash\{\alpha\}$.
For $f$ as considered in the statement of the theorem we can assume that that it is not constant $\mu$-almost every where, since for that case the inequality (23) is trivially satisfied.

For $\bar{f}_{\Omega, w} \in(m, M)$, we consider now the function defined $\mu$-almost everywhere on $\Omega$ by

$$
\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(x):=\frac{\Phi(f(x))-\Phi\left(\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right)}{f(x)-\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}} .
$$

We will show that $\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}$ and $h:=f-\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}$ are synchronous $\mu$-a.e. on $\Omega$.
Let $x, y \in \Omega$ with $f(x), f(y) \neq \bar{f}_{\Omega, w}$. Assume that $f(x) \geq f(y)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(x) & =\frac{\Phi(f(x))-\Phi\left(\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right)}{f(x)-\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}  \tag{24}\\
& \geq \frac{\Phi(f(y))-\Phi\left(\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right)}{f(y)-\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}=\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(y)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x) \geq h(y) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(x)-\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(y)\right][h(x)-h(y)] \geq 0 . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f(x)<f(y)$, then the inequalities (24) and (25) reverse but the inequality (26) still holds true.

This show that for $\mu$-a.e. $x, y \in \Omega$ we have (26) and the claim is proven as stated.

Utilising the continuity property of the modulus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left[\left|\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(x)\right|-\left|\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(y)\right|\right][h(x)-h(y)]\right| \\
& \leq\left|\left[\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(x)-\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(y)\right][h(x)-h(y)]\right| \\
& =\left[\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(x)-\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(y)\right][h(x)-h(y)]
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\mu$-a.e. $x, y \in \Omega$.
Multiplying with $w(x), w(y) \geq 0$ and integrating over $\mu(x)$ and $\mu(y)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left|\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(x)\right|-\left|\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(y)\right|\right]  \tag{27}\\
& \times[h(x)-h(y)] w(x) w(y) d \mu(x) d \mu(y) \mid \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega}\left[\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(x)-\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(y)\right] \\
& \times[h(x)-h(y)] w(x) w(y) d \mu(x) d \mu(y) .
\end{align*}
$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left|\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(x)\right|-\left|\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(y)\right|\right]  \tag{28}\\
& \times[h(x)-h(y)] w(x) w(y) d \mu(x) d \mu(y) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left|\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(x)\right| h(x) w(x) d \mu(x) \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left|\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(x)\right| w(x) d \mu(x) \int_{\Omega} w(x) h(x) d \mu(x) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\Phi(f(x))-\Phi\left(\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right)}{f(x)-\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}\right|\left[f(x)-\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right] w(x) d \mu(x) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left|\Phi(f(x))-\Phi\left(\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right)\right| \operatorname{sgn}\left[f(x)-\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right] w(x) d \mu(x)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega}\left[\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(x)-\Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(y)\right]  \tag{29}\\
& \times[h(x)-h(y)] w(x) w(y) d \mu(x) d \mu(y) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(x) h(x) w(x) d \mu(x) \\
& -\int_{\Omega} \Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(x) w(x) d \mu(x) \int_{\Omega} h(x) w(x) d \mu(x) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \frac{\Phi(f(x))-\Phi\left(\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right)}{f(x)-\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}\left[f(x)-\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right] w(x) d \mu(x) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left[\Phi(f(x))-\Phi\left(\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right)\right] w(x) d \mu(x) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} w(\Phi \circ f) d \mu-\Phi\left(\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

On making use of the identities (28) and (29) we obtain from (27) the first inequality in (23).

Now, since $f$ satisfies the condition (22) then we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[m, \bar{f}_{\Omega, w} ; \Phi\right] } & =\frac{\Phi\left(\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right)-\Phi(m)}{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}-m} \leq \Phi_{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}(x)  \tag{30}\\
& \leq \frac{\Phi(M)-\Phi\left(\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right)}{M-\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}}=\left[\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}, M ; \Phi\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for $\mu$-a.e. $x \in \Omega$.
Applying now the Grüss' type inequality (7) and taking into account the second part of the equality in (28) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} w(\Phi \circ f) d \mu-\Phi\left(\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left[\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}, M ; \Phi\right]-\left[m, \bar{f}_{\Omega, w} ; \Phi\right]\right) \int_{\Omega} w\left|f-\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right| d \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the second inequality in (23).
The other two bounds are obvious from the comments in the introduction.
It is obvious that from (23) we get the following reverse of the first HermiteHadamard inequality for the convex function $\Phi:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \Phi(t) d t-\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)  \tag{31}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left[\frac{a+b}{2}, b ; \Phi\right]-\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2} ; \Phi\right]\right) D_{w}(e)
\end{align*}
$$

where $e(t)=t, t \in[a, b]$.
Since a simple calculation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left(\left[\frac{a+b}{2}, b ; \Phi\right]-\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2} ; \Phi\right]\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{b-a}\left[\frac{\Phi(a)+\Phi(b)}{2}-\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
D_{w}(e)=\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}\left|t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right| d t=\frac{1}{4}(b-a),
$$

and we get from (31) that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \Phi(t) d t-\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)  \tag{32}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\Phi(a)+\Phi(b)}{2}-\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

To prove the sharpness of the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ in the second inequality from (23) we need now only to show that the equality case in (32) is realized.

If we take, for instance $\Phi(t)=\left|t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|, t \in[a, b]$, then we observe that $\Phi$ is convex and we get in both sides of (32) the same quantity $\frac{1}{4}(b-a)$.

Corollary 2.2. With the assumptions in Theorem 2.1 and if the lateral derivatives $\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(m)$ and $\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(M)$ are finite, then we have the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \int_{\Omega}(\Phi \circ f) w d \mu-\Phi\left(\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right)  \tag{33}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left[\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}, M ; \Phi\right]-\left[m, \bar{f}_{\Omega, w} ; \Phi\right]\right) D_{w}(f) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(M)-\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(m)\right) D_{w}(f) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(M)-\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(m)\right) D_{w, 2}(f) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(M)-\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(m)\right)(M-m)
\end{align*}
$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ in the second and third inequality from (33) is best possible.
Proof. We need to prove only the third inequality.
By the convexity of $\Phi$ we have the gradient inequalities

$$
\frac{\Phi(M)-\Phi\left(\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right)}{M-\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}} \leq \Phi_{-}^{\prime}(M)
$$

and

$$
\frac{\Phi\left(\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}\right)-\Phi(m)}{\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}-m} \geq \Phi_{+}^{\prime}(m)
$$

These imply that

$$
\left[\bar{f}_{\Omega, w}, M ; \Phi\right]-\left[m, \bar{f}_{\Omega, w} ; \Phi\right] \leq \Phi_{-}^{\prime}(M)-\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(m)
$$

and the proof is concluded.
We observe that from (33) we get the following reverse of the HermiteHadamard inequality for the convex function $\Phi:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ having finite lateral derivative $\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(a)$ and $\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(b)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \Phi(t) d t-\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)  \tag{34}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\Phi(a)+\Phi(b)}{2}-\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right] \leq \frac{1}{8}\left[\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(b)-\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(a)\right](b-a)
\end{align*}
$$

We observe that the convex function $\Phi(t)=\left|t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|$ has finite lateral derivatives

$$
\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(b)=1 \text { and } \Phi_{+}^{\prime}(a)=-1
$$

and replacing this function in (34) we get in all terms the same quantity $\frac{1}{4}(b-a)$.

This proves that the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ in the second and third inequality from (33) is best possible.

Remark 2.3. Let $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous convex function on the interval of real numbers $I$ and $m, M \in \mathbb{R}, m<M$ with $[m, M] \subset I, I$ the interior of $I$. Let $\overline{\mathbf{a}}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), \overline{\mathbf{p}}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ be $n$-tuples of real numbers with $p_{i} \geq 0(i \in\{1, \ldots, n\})$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1$. If $m \leq a_{i} \leq M, i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i} \neq m, M$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right| \Phi\left(a_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}\right)\left|\operatorname{sgn}\left(a_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} a_{j}\right)\right|  \tag{35}\\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \Phi\left(a_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}, M ; \Phi\right]-\left[m, \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i} ; \Phi\right]\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left|a_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} a_{j}\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

If the lateral derivatives $\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(m)$ and $\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(M)$ are finite, then we also have the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \Phi\left(a_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}\right)  \tag{36}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}, M ; \Phi\right]-\left[m, \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i} ; \Phi\right]\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left|a_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} a_{j}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(M)-\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(m)\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left|a_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} a_{j}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2.4. Define the weighted arithmetic mean of the positive $n$-tuple $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ with the nonnegative weights $w=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$ by

$$
A_{n}(w, x):=\frac{1}{W_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}
$$

where $W_{n}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}>0$ and the weighted geometric mean of the same $n$-tuple, by

$$
G_{n}(w, x):=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{w_{i}}\right)^{1 / W_{n}}
$$

It is well know that the following arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality holds

$$
A_{n}(w, x) \geq G_{n}(w, x)
$$

Applying the inequality (36) for the convex function $\Phi(t)=-\ln t, t>0$ we have the following reverse of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & \leq \frac{A_{n}(w, x)}{G_{n}(w, x)}  \tag{37}\\
& \leq\left[\frac{\left(\frac{A_{n}(w, x)}{m}\right)^{A_{n}(w, x)-m}}{\left(\frac{M}{A_{n}(w, x)}\right)^{M-A_{n}(w, x)}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2} A_{n}\left(w,\left|x-A_{n}(w, x)\right|\right)} \\
& \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{M-m}{m M} A_{n}\left(w,\left|x-A_{n}(w, x)\right|\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

provided that $0<m \leq x_{i} \leq M<\infty$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

## 3. Applications for the Hölder Inequality

It is well known that if $f \in L_{p}(\Omega, \mu), p>1$, where the Lebesgue space $L_{p}(\Omega, \mu)$ is defined by

$$
L_{p}(\Omega, \mu):=\left\{f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \text { is } \mu \text {-measurable and } \int_{\Omega}|f(x)|^{p} d \mu(x)<\infty\right\}
$$

and $g \in L_{q}(\Omega, \mu)$ with $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$ then $f g \in L(\Omega, \mu):=L_{1}(\Omega, \mu)$ and the Hölder inequality holds true

$$
\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|f|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\Omega}|g|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / q}
$$

Assume that $p>1$. If $h: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mu$-measurable, satisfies the bounds

$$
-\infty<m \leq|h(x)| \leq M<\infty \text { for } \mu \text {-a.e. } x \in \Omega
$$

and is such that $h,|h|^{p} \in L_{w}(\Omega, \mu)$, for a $\mu$-measurable function $w: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $w(x) \geq 0$ for $\mu$-a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and $\int_{\Omega} w d \mu>0$, then from (23) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega}\right||h|^{p}-\overline{|h|_{\Omega, w}^{p}\left|\operatorname{sgn}\left[|h|-\overline{|h|}_{\Omega, w}\right] w d \mu\right|}  \tag{38}\\
& \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega}|h|^{p} w d \mu}{\int_{\Omega} w d \mu}-\left(\frac{\int_{\Omega}|h| w d \mu}{\int_{\Omega} w d \mu}\right)^{p} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left[\overline{|h|}_{\Omega, w}, M ;(\cdot)^{p}\right]-\left[m, \overline{|h|}_{\Omega, w} ;(\cdot)^{p}\right]\right) \tilde{D}_{w}(|h|) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left[\mid \overline{|h|_{\Omega, w}}, M ;(\cdot)^{p}\right]-\left[m, \overline{|h|_{\Omega, w}} ;(\cdot)^{p}\right]\right) \tilde{D}_{w, 2}(|h|) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\left[\overline{|h|}_{\Omega, w}, M ;(\cdot)^{p}\right]-\left[m, \overline{|h|}_{\Omega, w} ;(\cdot)^{p}\right]\right)(M-m)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\overline{|h|}_{\Omega, w}:=\frac{\int_{\Omega}|h| w d \mu}{\int_{\Omega} w d \mu} \in[m, M]$ and

$$
\tilde{D}_{w}(|h|):=\frac{1}{\int_{\Omega} w d \mu} \int_{\Omega} w| | h\left|-\frac{\int_{\Omega}|h| w d \mu}{\int_{\Omega} w d \mu}\right| d \mu
$$

while

$$
\tilde{D}_{w, 2}(|h|)=\left[\frac{\int_{\Omega} w|h|^{2} d \mu}{\int_{\Omega} w d \mu}-\left(\frac{\int_{\Omega}|h| w d \mu}{\int_{\Omega} w d \mu}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

The following result related to the Hölder inequality holds:
Proposition 3.1. If $f \in L_{p}(\Omega, \mu), g \in L_{q}(\Omega, \mu)$ with $p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$ and there exists the constants $\gamma, \Gamma>0$ and such that

$$
\gamma \leq \frac{|f|}{|g|^{q-1}} \leq \Gamma \mu \text {-a.e on } \Omega
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| \frac{|f|^{p}}{|g|^{q}}-\left.\left(\frac{\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{q} d \mu}\right)^{p}\left|\operatorname{sgn}\left[\frac{|f|}{|g|^{q-1}}-\frac{\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{q} d \mu}\right]\right| g\right|^{q} d \mu \right\rvert\,  \tag{39}\\
& \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega}|f|^{p} d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{q} d \mu}-\left(\frac{\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{q} d \mu}\right)^{p} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left[\frac{\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{q} d \mu}, \Gamma ;(\cdot)^{p}\right]-\left[\gamma, \frac{\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{q} d \mu} ;(\cdot)^{p}\right]\right) \tilde{D}_{|g|^{q}}\left(\frac{|f|}{|g|^{q-1}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left[\frac{\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{q} d \mu}, \Gamma ;(\cdot \cdot)^{p}\right]-\left[\gamma, \frac{\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{q} d \mu} ;(\cdot \cdot)^{p}\right]\right) \tilde{D}_{|g|^{q}, 2}\left(\frac{|f|}{|g|^{q-1}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\left[\frac{\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{q} d \mu}, \Gamma ;(\cdot \cdot)^{p}\right]-\left[\gamma, \frac{\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{q} d \mu} ;(\cdot \cdot)^{p}\right]\right)(\Gamma-\gamma),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{D}_{|g|^{q}}\left(\frac{|f|}{|g|^{q-1}}\right)=\frac{1}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{q} d \mu} \int_{\Omega}|g|^{q}\left|\frac{|f|}{|g|^{q-1}}-\frac{\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{q} d \mu}\right| d \mu
$$

and

$$
\tilde{D}_{|g|^{q}, 2}\left(\frac{|f|}{|g|^{q-1}}\right)=\left[\frac{1}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{q} d \mu} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f|^{2}}{|g|^{q-2}} d \mu-\left(\frac{\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{q} d \mu}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Proof. The inequalities (40) follow from (38) by choosing

$$
h=\frac{|f|}{|g|^{q-1}} \text { and } w=|g|^{q} .
$$

The details are omitted.

Remark 3.2. We observe that for $p=q=2$ we have from the first inequality in (39) the following reverse of the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| \frac{|f|^{2}}{|g|^{2}}-\left.\left(\frac{\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{2} d \mu}\right)^{2}\left|\operatorname{sgn}\left[\frac{|f|}{|g|}-\frac{\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{2} d \mu}\right]\right| g\right|^{2} d \mu \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{2} d \mu}-\left(\frac{\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{2} d \mu}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma-\gamma) \frac{1}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{2} d \mu} \int_{\Omega}|g|^{2}\left|\frac{|f|}{|g|}-\frac{\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{2} d \mu}\right| d \mu \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma-\gamma)\left[\frac{1}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{2} d \mu} \int_{\Omega}^{\left.|f|^{2} d \mu-\left(\frac{\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu}{\int_{\Omega}|g|^{2} d \mu}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right. \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}(\Gamma-\gamma)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

provided that $f, g \in L_{2}(\Omega, \mu)$, and there exists the constants $\gamma, \Gamma>0$ such that

$$
\gamma \leq \frac{|f|}{|g|} \leq \Gamma \mu \text {-a.e on } \Omega \text {. }
$$

## 4. Applications for $f$-divergence

One of the important issues in many applications of probability theory is finding an appropriate measure of distance (or difference or discrimination) between two probability distributions. A number of divergence measures for this purpose have been proposed and extensively studied by Jeffreys [20], Kullback and Leibler [25], Rényi [31], Havrda and Charvat [18], Kapur [23], Sharma and Mittal [33], Burbea and Rao [4], Rao [30], Lin [26], Csiszár [9], Ali and Silvey [1], Vajda [39], Shioya and Da-te [34] and others (see for example [27] and the references therein).

These measures have been applied in a variety of fields such as: anthropology [30], genetics [27], finance, economics, and political science [32], [36], [37], biology [29], the analysis of contingency tables [17], approximation of probability distributions [8], [24], signal processing [21], [22] and pattern recognition [2], [6]. A number of these measures of distance are specific cases of Csiszár $f$ divergence and so further exploration of this concept will have a flow on effect to other measures of distance and to areas in which they are applied.

Assume that a set $\Omega$ and the $\sigma$-finite measure $\mu$ are given. Consider the set of all probability densities on $\mu$ to be $\mathcal{P}:=\{p \mid p: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, p(x) \geq$ $\left.0, \int_{\Omega} p(x) d \mu(x)=1\right\}$.

Csiszár $f$-divergence is defined as follows [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{f}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega} p(x) f\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right] d \mu(x), \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is convex on $(0, \infty)$. It is assumed that $f(u)$ is zero and strictly convex at $u=1$. By appropriately defining this convex function, various divergences are derived.

The Kullback-Leibler divergence [25] is well known among the information divergences. It is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{K L}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega} p(x) \ln \left[\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right] d \mu(x), \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ln$ is to base $e$.
In Information Theory and Statistics, various divergences are applied in addition to the Kullback-Leibler divergence. These are the: variation distance $D_{v}$, Hellinger distance $D_{H}[19], \chi^{2}$-divergence $D_{\chi^{2}}, \alpha$-divergence $D_{\alpha}$, Bhattacharyya distance $D_{B}[3]$, Harmonic distance $D_{H a}$, Jeffrey's distance $D_{J}$ [20], triangular discrimination $D_{\Delta}$ [38], etc... . They are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{v}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega}|p(x)-q(x)| d \mu(x), p, q \in \mathcal{P} ;  \tag{43}\\
D_{H}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega}|\sqrt{p(x)}-\sqrt{q(x)}| d \mu(x), \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P} ;  \tag{44}\\
D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega} p(x)\left[\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right)^{2}-1\right] d \mu(x), \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P} ;  \tag{45}\\
D_{\alpha}(p, q):=\frac{4}{1-\alpha^{2}}\left[1-\int_{\Omega}[p(x)]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}[q(x)]^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} d \mu(x)\right], \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P} ;  \tag{46}\\
D_{B}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{p(x) q(x)} d \mu(x), \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P} ;  \tag{47}\\
D_{H a}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega} \frac{2 p(x) q(x)}{p(x)+q(x)} d \mu(x), \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P} ;  \tag{48}\\
D_{J}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega}[p(x)-q(x)] \ln \left[\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right] d \mu(x), \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P} ;  \tag{49}\\
D_{\Delta}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega} \frac{[p(x)-q(x)]^{2}}{p(x)+q(x)} d \mu(x), \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P} . \tag{50}
\end{gather*}
$$

For other divergence measures, see the paper [23] by Kapur or the book on line [35] by Taneja.

Most of the above distances (42) - (50), are particular instances of Csiszár $f$-divergence. There are also many others which are not in this class (see for example [35]). For the basic properties of Csiszár $f$-divergence see [10], [11] and [39].

Before we apply the results obtained in the previous section we observe that, by employing the inequalities from (17) we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. Let $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function with the property that $f(1)=0$. Assume that $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ and there exists the constants $0<r<1<$ $R<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \leq \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \leq R \text { for } \mu \text {-a.e. } x \in \Omega \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq I_{f}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[f_{-}^{\prime}(R)-f_{+}^{\prime}(r)\right] D_{v}(p, q)  \tag{52}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[f_{-}^{\prime}(R)-f_{+}^{\prime}(r)\right]\left[D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q)\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}(R-r)\left[f_{-}^{\prime}(R)-f_{+}^{\prime}(r)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From (17) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} p(x) f\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right) d \mu(x)-f\left(\int_{\Omega} q(x) d \mu(x)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[f_{-}^{\prime}(R)-f_{+}^{\prime}(r)\right] \\
& \times \int_{\Omega} p(x)\left|\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}-\int_{\Omega} q(y) d \mu(y)\right| d \mu(x) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[f_{-}^{\prime}(R)-f_{+}^{\prime}(r)\right] \\
& \times\left[\int_{\Omega} p(x)\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right)^{2} d \mu-\left(\int_{\Omega} q(x) d \mu\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}(R-r)\left[f_{-}^{\prime}(R)-f_{+}^{\prime}(r)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and since

$$
\int_{\Omega} p(x)\left|\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}-\int_{\Omega} q(y) d \mu(y)\right| d \mu(x)=D_{v}(p, q)
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega} p(x)\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right)^{2} d \mu-\left(\int_{\Omega} q(x) d \mu\right)^{2}=D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q)
$$

then we get from (53) the desired result (52).

Remark 4.2. The inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{f}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{4}(R-r)\left[f_{-}^{\prime}(R)-f_{+}^{\prime}(r)\right] \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

was obtained for the discrete divergence measures in 2000 by S.S. Dragomir, see [15].

Theorem 4.3. With the assumptions in Theorem 4.1 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|I_{|f|(\operatorname{sgn}(\cdot)-1)}(p, q)\right| & \leq I_{f}(p, q)  \tag{55}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}([1, R ; f]-[r, 1 ; f]) D_{v}(p, q) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}([1, R ; f]-[r, 1 ; f])\left[D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q)\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}([1, R ; f]-[r, 1 ; f])(R-r),
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{|f|(\operatorname{sgn}(\cdot)-1)}(p, q)$ is the generalized $f$-divergence for the non-necessarily convex function $|f|(\operatorname{sgn}(\cdot)-1)$ and is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{|f|(\operatorname{sgn}(\cdot)-1)}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right)\right| \operatorname{sgn}\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}-1\right] p(x) d \mu . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From the inequality (23) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega}\right| f\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right)\left|\operatorname{sgn}\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}-1\right] p(x) d \mu .\right|  \tag{57}\\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} p(x) f\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right) d \mu(x)-f\left(\int_{\Omega} q(x) d \mu(x)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}([1, R ; f]-[r, 1 ; f]) \\
& \times \int_{\Omega} p(x)\left|\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}-\int_{\Omega} q(y) d \mu(y)\right| d \mu(x) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}([1, R ; f]-[r, 1 ; f]) \\
& \times\left[\int_{\Omega} p(x)\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right)^{2} d \mu-\left(\int_{\Omega} q(x) d \mu\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}([1, R ; f]-[r, 1 ; f])(R-r)
\end{align*}
$$

from where we get the desired result (55).
The above results can be utilized to obtain various inequalities for the divergence measures in Information Theory that are particular instances of $f$ divergence.

Consider the Kullback-Leibler divergence

$$
D_{K L}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega} p(x) \ln \left[\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right] d \mu(x), \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P}
$$

which is an $f$-divergence for the convex function $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(t)=-\ln t$.
If $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ such that there exists the constants $0<r<1<R<\infty$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \leq \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \leq R \text { for } \mu \text {-a.e. } x \in \Omega \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we get from (52) that

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{K L}(p, q) & \leq \frac{R-r}{2 r R} D_{v}(p, q)  \tag{59}\\
& \leq \frac{R-r}{2 r R}\left[D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q)\right]^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{(R-r)^{2}}{4 r R}
\end{align*}
$$

and from (55) that

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{K L}(p, q) & \leq \frac{1}{2} D_{v}(p, q) \ln \left(\frac{1}{R^{R-1} r^{1-r}}\right)  \tag{60}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q)\right]^{1 / 2} \ln \left(\frac{1}{R^{R-1} r^{1-r}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}(R-r) \ln \left(\frac{1}{R^{R-1} r^{1-r}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$
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