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#### Abstract

As the case of free $\mathbb{k}$-algebras and $P B W$ algebras, given a bijective skew $P B W$ extension $A$, we will show that it is possible transfer Gröbner bases between $A$ and its associated graded ring.
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Resumen. Como en el caso de $\mathfrak{k}$-álgebras libres y $P B W$ álgebras, dada $A$ una extensión $P B W$ torcida biyectiva, mostraremos que es posible transferir bases de Gröbner entre $A$ y su anillo graduado asociado.
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## 1. Introduction

In [8] it was shown that if $A=\mathbb{k}\left[a_{i}\right]_{i \in \Lambda}$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-algebra generated by $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ over the field $\mathbb{k}$, and $I$ a left ideal of $A$, then a nonempty subset $G$ of $I$ is a Gröbner basis for $I$ if, and only if, $\bar{G}$ is a Gröbner basis of $G r(I)$, where $\bar{G}$ denotes the image of $G$ in $G r(A)$ and $G r(I)$ is the left ideal associated to $I$ in $G r(A)$. A similar fact is proved in [1] for the case of $P B W$ algebras. We will present an analogous result for skew $P B W$ extensions, another class of noncommutative rings and algebras of polynomial type that generalize classical $P B W$ extensions and include many important types of quantum algebras.

## 2. Skew $P B W$ extensions

In this section we recall the definition of skew $P B W$ (Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt) extensions defined firstly in [4], and we will review also some basic properties about the polynomial interpretation of this kind of noncommutative rings. Two particular subclasses of these extensions are recalled also.

Definition 2.1. Let $R$ and $A$ be rings. We say that $A$ is a skew $P B W$ extension of $R$ (also called a $\sigma-P B W$ extension of $R$ ) if the following conditions hold:
(i) $R \subseteq A$.
(ii) There exist finite elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in A$ such $A$ is a left $R$-free module with basis

$$
\operatorname{Mon}(A):=\left\{x^{\alpha}=x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \mid \alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}\right\}
$$

(iii) For every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $r \in R-\{0\}$ there exists $c_{i, r} \in R-\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} r-c_{i, r} x_{i} \in R \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) For every $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ there exists $c_{i, j} \in R-\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j} x_{i}-c_{i, j} x_{i} x_{j} \in R+R x_{1}+\cdots+R x_{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under these conditions we will write $A:=\sigma(R)\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$.
A particular case of skew $P B W$ extension is when all derivations $\delta_{i}$ are zero. Another interesting case is when all $\sigma_{i}$ are bijective and the constants $c_{i j}$ are invertible. We recall the following definition (cf. [4]).

Definition 2.2. Let $A$ be a skew $P B W$ extension.
(a) $A$ is quasi-commutative if the conditions (iii) and (iv) in Definition 2.1 are replaced by
(iii') For every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $r \in R-\{0\}$ there exists $c_{i, r} \in R-\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} r=c_{i, r} x_{i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv') For every $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ there exists $c_{i, j} \in R-\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j} x_{i}=c_{i, j} x_{i} x_{j} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) $A$ is bijective if $\sigma_{i}$ is bijective for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $c_{i, j}$ is invertible for any $1 \leq i<j \leq n$.

The skew $P B W$ extensions can be characterized in a similar way as it was done in [1] for $P B W$ rings (see Proposition 2.4 there in).

Theorem 2.3. Let $A$ be a left polynomial ring over $R$ w.r.t.. $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. $A$ is a skew $P B W$ extension of $R$ if and only if the following conditions hold:
(a) For every $x^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)$ and every $0 \neq r \in R$ there exist unique elements $r_{\alpha}:=\sigma^{\alpha}(r) \in R-\{0\}$ and $p_{\alpha, r} \in A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\alpha} r=r_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}+p_{\alpha, r}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{\alpha, r}=0$ or $\operatorname{deg}\left(p_{\alpha, r}\right)<|\alpha|$ if $p_{\alpha, r} \neq 0$. Moreover, if $r$ is left invertible, then $r_{\alpha}$ is left invertible.
(b) For every $x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)$ there exist unique elements $c_{\alpha, \beta} \in R$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta} \in A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}=c_{\alpha, \beta} x^{\alpha+\beta}+p_{\alpha, \beta}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{\alpha, \beta}$ is left invertible, $p_{\alpha, \beta}=0$ or $\operatorname{deg}\left(p_{\alpha, \beta}\right)<|\alpha+\beta|$ if $p_{\alpha, \beta} \neq 0$.
In addition, the skew $P B W$ extensions are filtered rings and its associated graded ring satisfies an interesting property, as shown in the following statement.

Proposition 2.4. Let $A$ be an arbitrary skew $P B W$ extension of $R$. Then, $A$ is a filtered ring with filtration given by

$$
F_{m}:= \begin{cases}R & \text { if } m=0  \tag{7}\\ \{f \in A \mid \operatorname{deg}(f) \leq m\} & \text { if } m \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

and the corresponding graded ring $\operatorname{Gr}(A)$ is a quasi-commutative skew $P B W$ extension of $R$. Moreover, if $A$ is bijective, then $G r(A)$ is a quasi-commutative bijective skew $P B W$ extension of $R$.

Proof. See [7], Theorem 2.2.

The above proposition enables us proving the Hilbert basis theorem for bijective skew $P B W$ extensions.

Proposition 2.5 (Hilbert Basis Theorem). Let $A$ be a bijective skew PBW extension of $R$. If $R$ is a left (right) Noetherian ring then $A$ is also a left (right) Noetherian ring.

Remark 2.6. We developed the Gröbner bases theory for any bijective skew $P B W$ extension. Specifically, we established a Buchberger's algorithm for these rings, the computation of syzygies module, as well as some applications as membership problem, calculation of intersections, quotients, presentation of a module, computing free resolutions, the kernel and image of an homomorphism (see Chapter 5 and Chapter 6 in [2], or [3]). In [6] where presented some other applications of this noncommutative Gröbner theory. In all of these works the theory and the applications have been illustrated with many examples.

## 3. For left ideals

In [7] was showed that if $A$ is a skew $P B W$ extension, then its associated graded ring $G r(A)$ is a quasi-commutative skew $P B W$ extension (see Theorem 2.2 there in). In this section we will prove this fact using a different technique. Furthermore, we establish the transfer of Gröbner bases between $A$ and $\operatorname{Gr}(A)$, when $A$ is a bijective skew $P B W$ extension.

By (2.4), given $A$ a skew $P B W$ extension of the ring $R$, the collection of subsets $\left\{F_{p}(A)\right\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $A$ defined by

$$
F_{p}(A):= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } p \leq-1 \\ R, & \text { if } p=0 \\ \{f \in A \mid \operatorname{deg}(\operatorname{lm}(f)) \leq p\}, & \text { if } p \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

is a filtration for the ring $A$, named standard filtration.
Now, notice that

$$
F_{p}(A)=\left\{\sum c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha} \mid c_{\alpha} \in R \backslash\{0\}, x^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A), \operatorname{deg}\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \leq p\right\}
$$

in this case, we say that this filtration is the filtration $M o n(A)$-standard on $A$. Moreover,

$$
\operatorname{Mon}(A)=\bigcup_{p \geq 0} \operatorname{Mon}(A)_{p}
$$

where $\operatorname{Mon}(A)_{p}:=\left\{x^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A) \mid \operatorname{deg}\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \leq p\right\}$, and if $|\alpha|=p$, then $x^{\alpha} \notin \operatorname{Mon}(A)_{p-1}$. In this case, it says that $\operatorname{Mon}(A)$ is a strictly filtered basis.

It can be noted that any filtration $\left\{F_{p}(A)\right\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$ on $A$ defines an order function $v: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ in the following way:

$$
v(f):= \begin{cases}p, & \text { if } f \in F_{p}(A)-F_{p-1}(A) \\ -\infty, & \text { if } f \in \cap_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{p}(A)\end{cases}
$$

Definition 3.1. Let $\operatorname{Gr}(A)$ be the graded ring associated to the filtered ring $A$, and let $f \in A$ with $f=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq p} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$, where $p=\operatorname{deg}(f), c_{\alpha} \in R \backslash\{0\}$
y $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$. In what follows, $\eta(f)$ will denote the image (or principal symbol) of $f$ in $G r(A)$, i.e.,

$$
\eta(f):=\sum_{|\alpha|=p} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}+F_{p-1}(A) \in F_{p}(A) / F_{p-1}(A)
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $A, \operatorname{Mon}(A)$ and $\left\{F_{p}(A)\right\}_{p}$ as above, then:
(i) For each $f \in A, \operatorname{deg}(f)=v(f)$.
(ii) For each $p \in \mathbb{N}, \operatorname{Mon}(A)_{p}$ is a $R$-basis for $F_{p}(A)$.
(iii) For $x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A), \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right)=\eta\left(x^{\beta}\right)$ if and only if $x^{\alpha}=x^{\beta}$.

Proof. (i) From definition of $\left\{F_{p}(A)\right\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$ it follows that if $0 \neq f \in A$, then there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f \in F_{p}(A)-F_{p-1}(A)$ and, therefore, $v(f)=p$. But, if $f \in F_{p}(A)-F_{p-1}(A)$, then $\operatorname{deg}(f)=p$ and we obtain the equality.
(ii) Let $f \in F_{p}(A)$, then $f=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq p} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$, and hence, $f \in{ }_{R}\left\langle\operatorname{Mon}(A)_{p}\right\}$. The linear independence of $\operatorname{Mon}(A)_{p}$ it follows from fact that $\operatorname{Mon}(A)_{p} \subseteq \operatorname{Mon}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Mon}(A)$ is linearly independent.
(iii) Let $x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)$ such that $0 \neq \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right)=\eta\left(x^{\beta}\right) \in \operatorname{Gr}(A)_{p}=$ $F_{p}(A) / F_{p-1}(A)$; this last implies that $x^{\alpha}-x^{\beta} \in F_{p-1}(A)$, i.e., $x^{\alpha}-x^{\beta} \in$ ${ }_{R}\left\langle\operatorname{Mon}(A)_{p-1}\right\}$. Now, since $x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta} \notin F_{p-1}(A)$, we have that $x^{\alpha}-x^{\beta}=0$, namely $x^{\alpha}=x^{\beta}$. The other implication is straightforward.

Lemma 3.3. If $x^{\alpha}$, $x^{\beta} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)$, with $\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)=p$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{\beta}\right)=q$, then $\eta\left(x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}\right)=\eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \eta\left(x^{\beta}\right)$. In particular, if $x^{\alpha}=x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \in F_{p}(A)-F_{p-1}(A)$, necessarily $\eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \neq 0$ and $\eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right)=\eta\left(x_{1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \eta\left(x_{n}\right)^{\alpha_{n}}$ $\in G r(A)_{p}$.

Proof. In fact, $x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}=c_{\alpha, \beta} x^{\alpha+\beta}+p_{\alpha, \beta}$, where $c_{\alpha, \beta} \in R$ is left invertible and $p_{\alpha, \beta}=0$ or $\operatorname{deg}\left(p_{\alpha, \beta}\right)<|\alpha+\beta|=p+q$ (see Theorem 2.3), whence $0 \neq \eta\left(x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}\right)=\overline{c_{\alpha, \beta} x^{\alpha+\beta}}=c_{\alpha, \beta} \overline{x^{\alpha+\beta}} \in F_{p+q}(A) / F_{p+q-1}(A)$. Furthermore, $0 \neq$ $\eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \eta\left(x^{\beta}\right)=\overline{x^{\alpha}} \overline{x^{\beta}}=\overline{x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}} \in F_{p+q}(A) / F_{p+q-1}(A)$; but $x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}-c_{\alpha, \beta} x^{\alpha+\beta}=$ $p_{\alpha, \beta} \in F_{p+q-1}(A)$, then $\overline{x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}}=\overline{c_{\alpha, \beta} x^{\alpha+\beta}}$, i.e., $\eta\left(x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}\right)=\eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \eta\left(x^{\beta}\right)$. $\quad \square$

Proposition 3.4. Let $A, \operatorname{Mon}(A)$ and $\left\{F_{p}(A)\right\}$ as before, then $\eta\left(\operatorname{Mon}(A)_{p}\right):=$ $\left\{\eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \mid x^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)_{p}\right\}$, forms a $R$-basis of $G r(A)_{p}$ for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $\eta(\operatorname{Mon}(A)):=\left\{\eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \mid x^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)\right\}$ is a $R$-basis for $\operatorname{Gr}(A)$.

Proof. Let $f \in F_{p}(A) \backslash F_{p-1}(A)$, then $f=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq p} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ with $c_{\alpha} \in R \backslash\{0\} \mathrm{y}$ $\eta(f)=\sum_{|\alpha|=p} c_{\alpha} \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.3, $\eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \in G r(A)_{p}$ for every $\alpha$ with $|\alpha|=p$, thus $\eta\left(\operatorname{Mon}(A)_{p}\right)$ is a generating set for the left $R$-module $G r(A)_{p}$. Now, suppose that there are $\lambda_{i} \in R$ such that $0=\sum \lambda_{i} \eta\left(x^{\alpha_{i}}\right) \in G r(A)_{p}$ for certain $x^{\alpha_{i}} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)_{p}$, then $\sum \lambda_{i} x^{\alpha_{i}} \in F_{p-1}(A)$; but $\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{\alpha_{i}}\right)=p$ for each $i$ and $\operatorname{Mon}(A)$ is a $R$-basis filtered strictly, hence $\lambda_{i}=0$ for every $i$.

The above preliminaries enables us to establish one of the main theorems of this section.

Theorem 3.5. If $A=\sigma(R)\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ is a (bijective) skew $P B W$ extension of ring $R$, then $\operatorname{Gr}(A)$ is a (bijective) quasi-commutative skew $P B W$ extension of $R$.

Proof. We must show that in $G r(A)$ there exist nonzero elements $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ satisfying the conditions in (a) from Definition 2.2. Define $y_{i}:=\eta\left(x_{i}\right)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$; by Proposition 3.4 we have that

$$
\eta(\operatorname{Mon}(A)):=\left\{\eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right)=\eta\left(x_{1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \eta\left(x_{n}\right)^{\alpha_{n}} \mid x^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)\right\}
$$

is a $R$-basis for $G r(A)$. Now, given $r \in R \backslash\{0\}$, there is $c_{i, r} \in R \backslash\{0\}$ such that $x_{i} r-c_{i, r} x_{i}=p_{i, r} \in R$; from last equality it follows that $\eta\left(x_{i} r\right)-\eta\left(c_{i, r} x_{i}\right)=$ $\eta\left(p_{i, r}\right)=0$, i.e., $\eta\left(x_{i} r\right)=\eta\left(c_{i, r} x_{i}\right)=c_{i, r} \eta\left(x_{i}\right)$; but $x_{i} r \neq 0$ for any nonzero $r \in$ $R$ because $\operatorname{Mon}(A)$ is a $R$-basis for the right $R$-module $A_{R}$ (see [7], Proposition 1.7), thus $\eta\left(x_{i} r\right)=\eta\left(x_{i}\right) \eta(r)=\eta\left(x_{i}\right) r$, and consequently $\eta\left(x_{i}\right) r=c_{i, r} \eta\left(x_{i}\right)$. On the other hand, given $i, j \in\{1, \ldots n\}$, there exists $c_{i, j} \in R \backslash\{0\}$ such that $x_{j} x_{i}-c_{i, j} x_{i} x_{j}=p_{i, j} \in R+R x_{1}+\cdots+R x_{n}$; hence we have that $\eta\left(x_{j} x_{i}\right)=$ $\eta\left(c_{i, j} x_{i} x_{j}\right)=c_{i, j} \eta\left(x_{i}\right) \eta\left(x_{j}\right)$, and by Lemma $3.3 \eta\left(x_{j} x_{i}\right)=\eta\left(x_{j}\right) \eta\left(x_{i}\right)$, therefore $\eta\left(x_{j}\right) \eta\left(x_{i}\right)=c_{i, j} \eta\left(x_{i}\right) \eta\left(x_{j}\right)$. Since the $c_{i, r}$ 's and $c_{i, j}$ 's that define to $\operatorname{Gr}(A)$ as a quasi-commutative skew $P B W$ extension are the same that define $A$ as a skew $P B W$ extension of $R$, then the bijectivity of $A$ implies the of $G r(A)$.

Remark 3.6. The last theorem will allow us to establish a back and forth between Gröbner bases theory for $A$ and $G r(A)$. As we will show, the existence of one theory implies the existence of the other.

In the following, the set $\eta(\operatorname{Mon}(A))$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{Mon}(G r(A))$. Thus, $\operatorname{Mon}(G r(A))$ is the basis for the left $R$-module $G r(A)$ composed by the standard monomials in the variables $\eta\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \eta\left(x_{n}\right)$.

We recall the definition of monomial order on a ring.
Definition 3.7. Let $\succeq$ be a total order on $\operatorname{Mon}(A)$, it says that $\succeq$ is a monomial order on $\operatorname{Mon}(A)$ if the following conditions hold:
(i) For every $x^{\beta}, x^{\alpha}, x^{\gamma}, x^{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)$

$$
x^{\beta} \succeq x^{\alpha} \Rightarrow \operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta} x^{\lambda}\right) \succeq \operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\alpha} x^{\lambda}\right)
$$

(ii) $x^{\alpha} \succeq 1$, for every $x^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)$.
(iii) $\succeq$ is degree compatible, i.e., $|\beta| \geq|\alpha| \Rightarrow x^{\beta} \succeq x^{\alpha}$.

Monomial orders are also called admissible orders.

Proposition 3.8. If $\succeq$ is a monomial order on $\operatorname{Mon}(A)$, then relation $\succeq_{g r}$ defined over $\operatorname{Mon}(\operatorname{Gr}(A))$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \succeq_{g r} \eta\left(x^{\beta}\right) \Leftrightarrow x^{\alpha} \succeq x^{\beta} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a monomial order for $\operatorname{Mon}(\operatorname{Gr}(A))$.
Proof. We will show that $\succeq_{g r}$ satisfies the conditions in the Definition 3.7: (i) Let $\eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right), \eta\left(x^{\beta}\right), \eta\left(x^{\lambda}\right), \eta\left(x^{\gamma}\right) \in \operatorname{Mon}(G r(A))$ and suppose that $\eta\left(x^{\beta}\right) \succeq_{g r}$ $\eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$, then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma}\right) \eta\left(x^{\beta}\right) \eta\left(x^{\lambda}\right)\right) \succeq_{g r} \operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma}\right) \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \eta\left(x^{\lambda}\right)\right) \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta} x^{\lambda}\right)\right) \succeq_{g r} \\
\left.\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\alpha} x^{\lambda}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

$\left.\frac{\text { But, } \eta(\operatorname{lm}}{c x^{\gamma+\beta+\lambda}}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta} x^{\lambda}\right)\right)=\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta} x^{\lambda}\right)\right)$ for all $\gamma, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ : indeed, $\eta\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta} x^{\lambda}\right)=$ $=c \eta\left(x^{\gamma+\beta+\lambda}\right)$, where $c:=c_{\gamma, \beta} c_{\gamma+\beta, \lambda}$ (see Remark 8 in [4]). Therefore,

$$
\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta} x^{\lambda}\right)\right)=\operatorname{lm}\left(c \eta\left(x^{\gamma+\beta+\lambda}\right)\right)=\eta\left(x^{\gamma+\beta+\lambda}\right)=\eta\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta} x^{\lambda}\right)\right)
$$

Since $\succeq$ is a order monomial on $\operatorname{Mon}(A)$, it has $\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta} x^{\lambda}\right) \succeq \operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\alpha} x^{\lambda}\right)$, so that $\eta\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta} x^{\lambda}\right)\right) \succeq_{g r} \eta\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\alpha} x^{\lambda}\right)\right)$, i.e., $\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta} x^{\lambda}\right)\right) \succeq_{g r} \operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\alpha} x^{\lambda}\right)\right)$. In consequence, $\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma}\right) \eta\left(x^{\beta}\right) \eta\left(x^{\lambda}\right)\right) \succeq_{g r} \operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma}\right) \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \eta\left(x^{\lambda}\right)\right)$.

The conditions (ii) y (iii) in Definition 3.7 are easily verifiable.
Lemma 3.9. Let $A$ as before, $\succeq$ a monomial order on $\operatorname{Mon}(A)$ and $f \in A$ an arbitrary element. Then,
(i) $f \in F_{p}(A)$ if and only if $\operatorname{deg}(f) \leq p$. Further, $f \in F_{p}(A)-F_{p-1}(A)$ if, and only, if $\operatorname{deg}(f)=p$.
(ii) $\eta(\operatorname{lm}(f))=\operatorname{lm}(\eta(f))$.

Proof. (i) It follows from the definition of $F_{p}(A)$ and Lemma 3.2.
(ii) Let $f$ be a nonzero polynomial in $A$; there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f \in F_{p}(A)-F_{p-1}(A)$. Let $f=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x^{\alpha_{i}}$, with $\lambda_{i} \in R \backslash\{0\}$ y $x^{\alpha_{i}} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)_{p}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $x^{\alpha_{1}} \succ x^{\alpha_{2}} \succ \cdots \succ x^{\alpha_{n}}$. Hence, $\operatorname{lm}(f)=x^{\alpha_{1}}, \operatorname{deg}(f)=p$ and $\eta(f)=\sum_{\left|\alpha_{i}\right|=p} \lambda_{i} \eta\left(x^{\alpha_{i}}\right)$. From the definition given for $\succeq_{g r}$, we have that $\operatorname{lm}(\eta(f))=\eta\left(x^{\alpha_{1}}\right)=\eta(\operatorname{lm}(f))$.

We will prove that the reciprocal of the Proposition 3.8 also holds.
Proposition 3.10. Let $A$ and $G r(A)$ as before. If $\succeq_{g r}$ is a monomial order on $\operatorname{Mon}(G r(A))$, then the relation $\succeq$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\alpha} \succ x^{\beta} \Leftrightarrow \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \succ_{g r} \eta\left(x^{\beta}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a monomial order over $\operatorname{Mon}(A)$.

Proof. Since $\succeq_{g r}$ is a well order, from Definition 9 it follows that $\succeq$ is a well order too. Now, we show that $\succeq$ is a monomial order: indeed, let $x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta}, x^{\gamma}$, $x^{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)$ and suppose that $x^{\beta} \succeq x^{\alpha}$, so:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta\left(x^{\beta}\right) \succeq \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \\
\eta\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta} x^{\lambda}\right)\right)=\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta} x^{\lambda}\right)\right)=\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma}\right) \eta\left(x^{\beta}\right) \eta\left(x^{\lambda}\right)\right) \\
\eta\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\alpha} x^{\lambda}\right)\right)=\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\alpha} x^{\lambda}\right)\right)=\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma}\right) \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \eta\left(x^{\lambda}\right)\right) \\
\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma}\right) \eta\left(x^{\beta}\right) \eta\left(x^{\lambda}\right)\right) \succeq_{g r} \operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma}\right) \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \eta\left(x^{\lambda}\right)\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

and hence, $\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta} x^{\lambda}\right) \succeq \operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\alpha} x^{\lambda}\right)$. Clearly $x^{\alpha} \succeq 1$ for all $x^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)$, and $\succeq$ is degree compatible.

Definition 3.11. Let $I$ be a left (right or two side) ideal of $A$. The graduation of $I$ (or the associated graded ideal to $I$ ) is defined as $G(I):=\oplus_{p} G r(I)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $G r(I)_{p}:=I \cap F_{p}(A) / I \cap F_{p-1}(A) \cong\left(I+F_{p-1}(A)\right) \cap F_{p}(A) / F_{p-1}(A)$, for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$; (e.g., see [9]).

Before proceeding, let us recall the definition of Gröbner basis.
Definition 3.12. Let $I \neq 0$ be a left ideal of $A$ and let $G$ be a non empty finite subset of non-zero polynomials of $I$, we say that $G$ is a Gröbner basis for $I$ if each element $0 \neq f \in I$ is reducible w.r.t. $G$.

We have the following characterization for Gröbner bases.
Theorem 3.13. Let $I \neq 0$ be a left ideal of $A$ and let $G$ be a finite subset of non-zero polynomials of $I$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $G$ is a Gröbner basis for $I$.
(ii) For any polynomial $f \in A$,

$$
f \in I \text { if and only if } f \xrightarrow{G}+0 .
$$

(iii) For any $0 \neq f \in I$ there exist $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t} \in G$ such that $\operatorname{lm}\left(g_{j}\right) \mid \operatorname{lm}(f), 1 \leq$ $j \leq t$, (i.e., there exist $\alpha_{j} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ such that $\left.\alpha_{j}+\exp \left(\operatorname{lm}\left(g_{j}\right)\right)=\exp (\operatorname{lm}(f))\right)$ and

$$
l c(f) \in\left\langle\sigma^{\alpha_{1}}\left(l c\left(g_{1}\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{1}, g_{1}}, \ldots, \sigma^{\alpha_{t}}\left(l c\left(g_{t}\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{t}, g_{t}}\right\}
$$

Proof. See [4], Theorem 24.
Theorem 3.14. Let $A, G r(A), \operatorname{Mon}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Mon}(G r(A))$ as before, $\succeq a$ monomial order over Mon $(A)$, and $I$ a left ideal of $A$. If $\overline{\mathcal{G}}=\left\{G_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a Gröbner basis for $G r(I)$, with respect to the monomial order $\succeq_{g r}$, and such basis is formed by homogeneous elements, then $\mathcal{G}:=\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a Gröbner basis for $I$, where $g_{j} \in I$ is a selected polynomial with property that $\eta\left(g_{j}\right)=G_{j}$ for each $j \in J$.

Proof. Let $0 \neq f \in I \cap F_{p}(A) \backslash F_{p-1}(A)$; we shall show that the condition (iii) in the Theorem 3.13 is satisfied: let $\bar{f}:=\eta(f)$, then $0 \neq \bar{f} \in G(I)_{p}$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ is a Gröbner basis of $G(I)$, there exist $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{t} \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\operatorname{lm}\left(G_{j}\right) \mid \operatorname{lm}(\bar{f})$ for each $1 \leq j \leq t$ and $l c(\bar{f}) \in\left\langle\sigma^{\alpha_{1}}\left(l c\left(G_{1}\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{1}, G_{1}}, \ldots, \sigma^{\alpha_{t}}\left(l c\left(G_{t}\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{t}, G_{t}}\right\}$, with $\alpha_{j} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ such that $\alpha_{j}+\exp \left(\operatorname{lm}\left(G_{j}\right)\right)=\exp (\operatorname{lm}(\bar{f}))=\exp (\operatorname{lm}(f))=p$ and $c_{\alpha_{j}, G_{j}}$ is the coefficient determined by the product $\eta(x)^{\alpha_{j}} \operatorname{lm}\left(G_{j}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Gr}(A)$, for $1 \leq j \leq t$. From this last it follows that $\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta(x)^{\alpha_{j}} \operatorname{lm}\left(G_{j}\right)\right)=\operatorname{lm}(\bar{f})$; but $\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta(x)^{\alpha_{j}} \operatorname{lm}\left(G_{j}\right)\right)=\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\alpha_{j}} x^{\beta_{j}}\right)\right)$, where $x^{\beta_{j}}:=\operatorname{lm}\left(g_{j}\right)$ y $g_{j} \in I \cap F_{p}(A)$ is such that $\eta\left(g_{j}\right)=G_{j}$. From Lemma 3.9 we get that $\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\alpha_{j}} x^{\beta_{j}}\right)\right)=$ $\eta\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\alpha_{j}} x^{\beta_{j}}\right)\right) \in F(A)_{p} / F(A)_{p-1}$, so that $\eta\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\alpha_{j}} x^{\beta_{j}}\right)\right)=\operatorname{lm}(\bar{f})=\eta(\operatorname{lm}(f))$. The latter implies that $\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\alpha_{j}} x^{\beta_{j}}\right)-\operatorname{lm}(f) \in F_{p-1}(A)$ and, therefore, $\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\alpha_{j}} x^{\beta_{j}}\right)=\operatorname{lm}(f)$, i.e., $\operatorname{lm}\left(g_{j}\right) \mid \operatorname{lm}(f)$ for each $1 \leq j \leq t$. Further, $l c(h)=$ $l c(\eta(h))$ for all $h \in A$, then $l c(f) \in\left\langle\sigma^{\alpha_{1}}\left(l c\left(g_{1}\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{1}, g_{1}}, \ldots, \sigma^{\alpha_{t}}\left(l c\left(g_{t}\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{t}, g_{t}}\right\}$.

In this way, a Gröbner basis of $G r(I)$ can be transfer to a Gröbner basis of $I$. In particular, from a Gröbner basis of $G r(I)$ we can get a set of generators for $I$. Reciprocally, whether we need obtain a generating set of $\operatorname{Gr}(I)$ from one of $I=\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right\}$, we could think that $G r(I)=\left\langle\eta\left(f_{1}\right), \ldots, \eta\left(f_{r}\right)\right\}$. Nevertheless, this affirmation in general is not true: in fact, let $A=A_{2}(\mathbb{k})$, the second Weyl algebra, i.e., $A=\mathbb{k}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]\left[y_{1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\right]\left[y_{2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right]$ with its associated standard filtration, and consider the left ideal $I$ generated by $f_{1}=x_{1} y_{1}$ and $f_{2}=x_{2} y_{1}^{2}-y_{1}$. Note that $x_{1} \in I$, since $x_{1}=\left(t_{2} x_{1}^{2}-x_{1}\right) f_{1}-\left(t_{1} x_{1}+2\right) f_{2}$, but $\eta\left(x_{1}\right) \notin\left\langle\eta\left(f_{1}\right), \eta\left(f_{2}\right)\right\}$, where $\eta\left(f_{1}\right)=\eta\left(t_{1}\right) \eta\left(x_{1}\right) \in G r(I)_{1}$ and $\eta\left(f_{2}\right)=\eta\left(t_{2}\right) \eta\left(x_{1}\right)^{2} \in G r(I)_{2}$ (see [8]). However, if $G=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right\}$ is a Gröbner basis for $I$, we will show that $\eta(G)=\left\{\eta\left(f_{1}\right), \ldots, \eta\left(f_{r}\right)\right\}$ is a Gröbner basis for $G r(I)$ and, from this we will take a generating set for $G r(I)$.

Theorem 3.15. With notation as above, let $\mathcal{G}=\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i \in J}$ be a Gröbner basis for a left ideal I of $A$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{G}}=\left\{\eta\left(g_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in J}$ is a Gröbner basis of $G r(I)$ consisting of homogeneous elements.

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Gr}(I)$ is a homogeneous ideal, it suffices to show that every nonzero homogeneous element $F \in G r(I)$ satisfies the condition (iii) in the Theorem 3.13. Let $0 \neq F \in G r(I)_{p}$, then $F=\eta(f)$ for some $f \in I \cap F_{p}(A)-I \cap$ $F_{p-1}(A)$ and there exist $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t} \in \mathcal{G}$ with the property that $\operatorname{lm}\left(g_{i}\right) \mid \operatorname{lm}(f)$ and $l c(f) \in\left\langle\sigma^{\alpha_{1}}\left(l c\left(g_{1}\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{1}, g_{1}}, \ldots, \sigma^{\alpha_{t}}\left(l c\left(g_{t}\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{t}, g_{t}}\right\}$, where $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ is such that $\alpha_{i}+\exp \left(g_{i}\right)=\exp (f)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. By Lemma 3.9 we have that $\eta(\operatorname{lm}(f))=\operatorname{lm}(\eta(f))=\operatorname{lm}(F)$, then $\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(g_{i}\right)\right) \mid \operatorname{lm}(F)$. Further, since $l c(f)=l c(\eta(f))=l c(F)$, it follows that $l c(F) \in\left\langle\sigma^{\alpha_{1}}\left(l c\left(\eta\left(g_{1}\right)\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{1}, \eta\left(g_{1}\right)}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\sigma^{\alpha_{t}}\left(l c\left(\eta\left(g_{t}\right)\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{t}, \eta\left(g_{t}\right)}\right\}$ and, in consequence $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ is a Gröbner basis for $\operatorname{Gr}(I)$.

## 4. For modules

Similar results about the transfer of Gröbner bases between $A$ and $G r(A)$ can be proved in the case of modules. For this, let $M$ be a submodule of the free module $A^{m}, m \geq 1$, where $A$ is a bijective skew $P B W$ extension of a ring $R$. Define the following collection of subsets of $M$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{p}(M):=\{\boldsymbol{f} \in M \mid \operatorname{deg}(\boldsymbol{f}) \leq p\} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not difficult to show that the collection $\left\{F_{p}(M)\right\}_{p \geq 0}$ given in 10 is a filtration for $M$, called the natural filtration on $M$. With this filtration we can define the graded module associated to $M$, which will be denoted by $\operatorname{Gr}(M)$, in the following way: $G r(M):=\oplus_{p \geq 0} F_{p}(M) / F_{p-1}(M)$; if $\boldsymbol{f} \in F_{p}(M)-F_{p-1}(M)$, then $\boldsymbol{f}$ is said to have degree $p$. Thus, we may associate to $\boldsymbol{f}$ its principal symbol $\eta(\boldsymbol{f}):=\boldsymbol{f}+F_{p-1}(M) \in G_{p}(M)=F_{p}(M) / F_{p-1}(M)$. The $G r(A)$-structure is given by, via distributive laws, the following multiplication:

$$
\eta(r) \eta(\boldsymbol{f}):= \begin{cases}\eta(r \boldsymbol{f}), & \text { if } r \boldsymbol{f} \notin F_{i+j-1}(M)  \tag{11}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $r \in F_{i}(A)-F_{i-1}(A)$ and $\boldsymbol{f} \in F_{j}(M)-F_{j-1}(M)$.
Notice that any filtration $\left\{F_{p}(M)\right\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$ on $M$ defines an order function $v$ : $M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ in the following way:

$$
v(\boldsymbol{f}):= \begin{cases}p, & \text { if } \boldsymbol{f} \in F_{p}(M)-F_{p-1}(M) \\ -\infty, & \text { if } \boldsymbol{f} \in \cap_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{p}(M)\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 4.1. Let $A, M$ and $\left\{F_{p}(M)\right\}_{p}$ be as above. Then for each $\boldsymbol{f} \in M$, $\operatorname{deg}(\boldsymbol{f})=v(\boldsymbol{f})$.

Proof. From definition of $\left\{F_{p}(M)\right\}_{p \geq 0}$, it follows that if $\mathbf{0} \neq \boldsymbol{f} \in M$, then there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\boldsymbol{f} \in F_{p}(M)-F_{p-1}(M)$ and, therefore, $v(\boldsymbol{f})=p$. But, if $f \in F_{p}(M)-F_{p-1}(M)$, then $\operatorname{deg}(\boldsymbol{f})=p$ and we obtain the equality.

We have a version of the Proposition 3.8 for module case. For this, remember that the monomials in $G r(A)^{m}$ are given by $\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}=\eta(\boldsymbol{X}):=\eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i}$, where $\overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i}$ is a canonical vector of $G r(A)^{m}$. We also recall the definition of monomial orders on $\operatorname{Mon}\left(A^{m}\right)$.

Definition 4.2. A monomial order on $\operatorname{Mon}\left(A^{m}\right)$ is a total order $\succeq$ satisfying the following three conditions:
(i) $\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\beta} x^{\alpha}\right) \mathbf{e}_{i} \succeq x^{\alpha} \mathbf{e}_{i}$, for every monomial $\mathbf{X}=x^{\alpha} \mathbf{e}_{i} \in \operatorname{Mon}\left(A^{m}\right)$ and any monomial $x^{\beta}$ in $\operatorname{Mon}(A)$.
(ii) If $\mathbf{Y}=x^{\beta} \mathbf{e}_{j} \succeq \mathbf{X}=x^{\alpha} \mathbf{e}_{i}$, then $\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta}\right) \mathbf{e}_{j} \succeq \operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\alpha}\right) \mathbf{e}_{i}$ for every monomial $x^{\gamma} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)$.
(iii) $\succeq$ is degree compatible, i.e., $\operatorname{deg}(\mathbf{X}) \geq \operatorname{deg}(\mathbf{Y}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{X} \succeq \mathbf{Y}$.

Proposition 4.3. If $>$ is a monomial order on $\operatorname{Mon}\left(A^{m}\right)$, then relation $>_{g r}$ defined over $\operatorname{Mon}\left(\operatorname{Gr}(A)^{m}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(\boldsymbol{X})>_{g r} \eta(\boldsymbol{Y}) \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{X}>\boldsymbol{Y} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a monomial order for $\operatorname{Mon}\left(G r(A)^{m}\right)$.
Proof. We will show that $\succeq_{g r}$ satisfies the conditions in the Definition 4.2: to begin, note that $>_{g r}$ is a total order because $>$ it is. Now, to prove (i) we must show that $\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\beta}\right) \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right)\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i} \geq_{g r} \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i}$ for every $\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}=\eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i} \in$ $\operatorname{Mon}\left(G r(A)^{m}\right)$ and $\eta\left(x^{\beta}\right) \in \operatorname{Mon}(G r(A))$. It can be noted that,

$$
\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\beta}\right) \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right)\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i} \geq_{g r} \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i} \Leftrightarrow \eta\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\beta} x^{\alpha}\right)\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i} \geq_{g r} \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i} .
$$

Since $>$ is a monomial order on $\operatorname{Mon}\left(A^{m}\right)$, we have that $\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\beta} x^{\alpha}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{i} \geq x^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{e}_{i}$ and, from (12) it follows that $\eta\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\beta} x^{\alpha}\right)\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i} \quad \geq_{g r} \quad \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i}$. So, $\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\beta}\right) \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right)\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i} \geq_{g r} \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i}$.

For (ii), let $\overline{\boldsymbol{Y}}=\eta\left(x^{\beta}\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{j}$ and $\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}=\eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i}$ monomials in $\operatorname{Mon}\left(\operatorname{Gr}(A)^{m}\right)$ such that $\overline{\boldsymbol{Y}} \geq_{g r} \overline{\boldsymbol{X}}$. Given $\eta\left(x^{\gamma}\right) \in \operatorname{Mon}(\operatorname{Gr}(A))$, we have

$$
\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma}\right) \eta\left(x^{\beta}\right)\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{j} \geq_{g r} \operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma}\right) \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right)\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i} \Leftrightarrow \eta\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta}\right)\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{j} \geq_{g r}
$$

In $\operatorname{Mon}(A)$ we get that $\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{j} \geq \operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\alpha}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{i}$ and, once again, from (12) it follows that $\eta\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta}\right)\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{j} \geq_{g r} \eta\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\alpha}\right)\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i}$.

Finally is easily verifiable that $\geq_{g r}$ is degree compatible.
Lemma 4.4. Let $A, M, G r(A), G r(M)$ and $<$ be as before, and consider an arbitrary element $\boldsymbol{f} \in M$. Then,
(i) $\boldsymbol{f} \in F_{p}(M)$ if, and only if, $\operatorname{deg}(\boldsymbol{f}) \leq p$. Further, $\boldsymbol{f} \in F_{p}(M)-F_{p-1}(M)$ if, and only, if $\operatorname{deg}(\boldsymbol{f})=p$.
(ii) $\eta(\operatorname{lm}(\boldsymbol{f}))=\operatorname{lm}(\eta(\boldsymbol{f}))$.

Proof. (i) It follows from the definition of $F_{p}(M)$ and Lemma 4.1. (ii) Let $\boldsymbol{f}$ be a nonzero vector in $M$, then there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\boldsymbol{f} \in F_{p}(M)-F_{p-1}(M)$. Thus, $\boldsymbol{f}=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_{i} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}$ with $\lambda_{i} \in R \backslash\{0\}, \boldsymbol{X}_{i} \in \operatorname{Mon}\left(A^{m}\right)$ where $\operatorname{deg}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right) \leq p$ for each $1 \leq i \leq l$, and $\boldsymbol{X}_{1}>\boldsymbol{X}_{2}>\cdots>\boldsymbol{X}_{l}$. Whence, $l m(\boldsymbol{f})=\boldsymbol{X}_{1}$ and since $\operatorname{deg}(\boldsymbol{f})=p$ and $\eta(\boldsymbol{f})=\sum_{\left|\exp \left(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right)\right|=p} \lambda_{i} \eta\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right)$, from the definition given for $\geq_{g r}$, we have that $\operatorname{lm}(\eta(\boldsymbol{f}))=\eta\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{1}\right)=\eta(\operatorname{lm}(\boldsymbol{f}))$.

The conversely of Proposition 4.3 is also true, as it is shown below.
Proposition 4.5. With the same notation used so far, if $\geq_{g r}$ a monomial order on $\operatorname{Mon}\left(G r(A)^{m}\right)$, then $\geq$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{X} \geq \boldsymbol{Y} \Leftrightarrow \eta(\boldsymbol{X}) \geq_{g r} \eta(\boldsymbol{Y}) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a monomial order over $\operatorname{Mon}\left(A^{m}\right)$.

Proof. Since $\geq_{g r}$ is a total order, from Definition 13 it follows that $\geq$ is a total order also. Now, we show that $\geq$ is a monomial order: indeed, let $x^{\beta} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)$ and $\boldsymbol{X}=x^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{e}_{i}$ an element in $\operatorname{Mon}\left(A^{m}\right)$; we must to show $\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{i} \geq x^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{e}_{i}$ for all $x^{\gamma} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)$; however

$$
\eta\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta}\right)\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i} \geq \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma}\right) \eta\left(x^{\beta}\right)\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i} \geq x^{\alpha} \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i}
$$

and since $\geq_{g r}$ is a monomial order, this last inequality is true. From (13) it follows that $\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{i} \geq x^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{e}_{i}$, as we had to show. Now, if $\boldsymbol{Y}=x^{\beta} \boldsymbol{e}_{j}$ and $\boldsymbol{X}=x^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{e}_{i}$ are monomials in $\operatorname{Mon}\left(A^{m}\right)$ such that $\boldsymbol{Y} \geq \boldsymbol{X}$, then $\eta(\boldsymbol{Y}) \geq_{g r}$ $\eta(\boldsymbol{X})$. Thus, given $\eta\left(x^{\gamma}\right) \in \operatorname{Mon}(\operatorname{Gr}(A))$ we have that

$$
\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma}\right) \eta\left(x^{\beta}\right)\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{j} \geq_{g r} \operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\gamma}\right) \eta\left(x^{\alpha}\right)\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\eta\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta}\right)\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{j} \geq_{g r} \eta\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\alpha}\right)\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i} .
$$

This implies that $\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\beta}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{j} \geq \operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\gamma} x^{\alpha}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{i}$. Finally, it is easy to prove that $\geq$ is degree compatible.

We are ready to prove the main theorem of this last section.
Theorem 4.6. Let $A, G r(A), \operatorname{Mon}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Mon}(G r(A))$ be as before, $\geq a$ monomial order over Mon $\left(A^{m}\right)$, and $M$ a nonzero submodule of $A^{m}$. The following statements hold:
(i) If $\overline{\mathcal{G}}=\left\{\boldsymbol{G}_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a Gröbner basis for $G r(M)$, with respect to the monomial order $\geq_{g r}$, and such basis is formed by homogeneous elements, then $\mathcal{G}:=\left\{\boldsymbol{g}_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a Gröbner basis for $M$, where $\boldsymbol{g}_{j} \in M$ is a selected vector with the property that $\eta\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{j}\right)=\boldsymbol{G}_{j}$ for each $j \in J$.
(ii) If $\mathcal{G}=\left\{\boldsymbol{g}_{i}\right\}_{i \in J}$ is a Gröbner basis for $M$, then $\overline{\mathcal{G}}=\left\{\eta\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in J}$ is a Gröbner basis of $G r(M)$ consisting of homogeneous elements.

Proof. (i) Let $\mathbf{0} \neq \boldsymbol{f} \in F_{p}(M) \backslash F_{p-1}(M)$; we shall show that the condition (iii) in Theorem 3.13, for module case, is satisfied (see [5], Theorem 26): let $\overline{\boldsymbol{f}}:=\eta(\boldsymbol{f})$, then $\mathbf{0} \neq \overline{\boldsymbol{f}} \in G(M)_{p}$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ is a Gröbner basis of $G(M)$, there exist $\boldsymbol{G}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{G}_{t} \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\operatorname{lm}\left(\boldsymbol{G}_{j}\right) \mid \operatorname{lm}(\overline{\boldsymbol{f}})$ for each $1 \leq$ $j \leq t$ and $l c(\overline{\boldsymbol{f}}) \in\left\langle\sigma^{\alpha_{1}}\left(l c\left(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{1}, \boldsymbol{G}_{1}}, \ldots, \sigma^{\alpha_{t}}\left(l c\left(\boldsymbol{G}_{t}\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{t}, \boldsymbol{G}_{t}}\right\}$, with $\alpha_{j} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ such that $\alpha_{j}+\exp \left(\operatorname{lm}\left(\boldsymbol{G}_{j}\right)\right)=\exp (\operatorname{lm}(\overline{\boldsymbol{f}}))=p$ and $c_{\alpha_{j}, \boldsymbol{G}_{j}}$ is the coefficient determined by the product $\eta(x)^{\alpha_{j}} \operatorname{lm}\left(\boldsymbol{G}_{j}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Gr}(M)$, for $1 \leq j \leq t$. But, $\exp (\operatorname{lm}(\overline{\boldsymbol{f}}))=\exp (\operatorname{lm}(\boldsymbol{f}))$, thus of the above mentioned follows that $\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\alpha_{j}}\right) \operatorname{lm}\left(\boldsymbol{G}_{j}\right)\right)=\operatorname{lm}(\overline{\boldsymbol{f}}) ;$ note that $\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\alpha_{j}}\right) \operatorname{lm}\left(\boldsymbol{G}_{j}\right)\right)=\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\alpha_{j}} \boldsymbol{X}_{j}\right)\right)$, where $\boldsymbol{X}:=\operatorname{lm}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{j}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{g}_{j} \in F_{p}(M)$ is such that $\eta\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{j}\right)=\boldsymbol{G}_{j}$. From Lemma 4.4 we get that $\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(x^{\alpha_{j}} \boldsymbol{X}\right)\right)=\eta\left(l m\left(x^{\alpha_{j}} \boldsymbol{X}\right)\right) \in F(M)_{p} / F(M)_{p-1}$, so that $\eta\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\alpha_{j}} \boldsymbol{X}\right)\right)=\operatorname{lm}(\overline{\boldsymbol{f}})=\eta(\operatorname{lm}(\boldsymbol{f}))$. The latter implies that $\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\alpha_{j}} \boldsymbol{X}\right)-$ $\operatorname{lm}(\boldsymbol{f}) \in F_{p-1}(M)$ and, therefore, $\operatorname{lm}\left(x^{\alpha_{j}} \boldsymbol{X}=\operatorname{lm}(\boldsymbol{f})\right.$, i.e., $\operatorname{lm}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{j}\right) \mid \operatorname{lm}(\boldsymbol{f})$ for each $1 \leq j \leq t$. Further, $l c(h)=l c(\eta(\boldsymbol{h}))$ for all $\boldsymbol{h} \in A^{m}$, then $l c(\boldsymbol{f}) \in$ $\left\langle\sigma^{\alpha_{1}}\left(l c\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{1}\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{1}, \boldsymbol{g}_{1}}, \ldots, \sigma^{\alpha_{t}}\left(l c\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{t}\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{t}, \boldsymbol{g}_{t}}\right\}$.
(ii) Since $\operatorname{Gr}(M)$ is a graded module, it suffices to show that every nonzero homogeneous element $\boldsymbol{F} \in G r(M)$ satisfies the condition (iii) in the Theorem 3.13 for module case. Suppose that $\boldsymbol{F} \in G r(M)_{p}$; then, $\boldsymbol{F}=\eta(\boldsymbol{f})$ for some $\boldsymbol{f} \in F_{p}(M)-F_{p-1}(M)$ and there exist $\boldsymbol{g}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{g}_{t} \in \mathcal{G}$ with the property that $\operatorname{lm}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{i}\right) \mid l m(\boldsymbol{f})$ and $l c(\boldsymbol{f}) \in\left\langle\sigma^{\alpha_{1}}\left(l c\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{1}\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{1}, \boldsymbol{g}_{1}}, \ldots, \sigma^{\alpha_{t}}\left(l c\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{t}\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{t}, \boldsymbol{g}_{t}}\right\}$, where $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ is such that $\alpha_{i}+\exp \left(\boldsymbol{f}_{i}\right)=\exp (\boldsymbol{f})$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. By Lemma 4.4 we have that $\operatorname{lm}(\boldsymbol{f})=\operatorname{lm}(\eta(\boldsymbol{f}))=\operatorname{lm}(\boldsymbol{F})$, then $\operatorname{lm}\left(\eta\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{i}\right)\right) \mid \operatorname{lm}(\boldsymbol{F})$ and, since $l c(\boldsymbol{f})=l c(\eta(\boldsymbol{f}))=l c(\boldsymbol{F})$, it follows that $l c(\boldsymbol{F}) \in\left\langle\sigma^{\alpha_{1}}\left(l c\left(\eta\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{1}\right)\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{1}, \eta\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{1}\right)}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\sigma^{\alpha_{t}}\left(l c\left(\eta\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{t}\right)\right)\right) c_{\alpha_{t}, \eta\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{t}\right)}\right\}$ and, hence, $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ is a Gröbner basis for $\operatorname{Gr}(M)$.
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