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#### Abstract

We study free subgroups of index four of the parametrized modular group $\Pi$, the subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\xi])$ generated by $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \xi \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. There are eight free subgroups, four of which are normal and four are non-normal. Then we study the intersections of the normal subgroups. We give canonical presentations in terms of generators and relations. At the end of the paper we study connections between $\Pi$ and the Bianchi groups, the two-parabolic group and a group from relativity theory.
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Resumen. Estudiamos los subgrupos libres de índice cuatro del grupo modular parametrizado $\Pi$, que es el subgrupo de $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\xi])$ generado por $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \xi \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ y $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. Hay ocho subgrupos libres, cuatro de los cuales son normales y los otros cuatro no lo son. Luego estudiamos las intersecciones de estos subgrupos. Damos presentaciones canónicas en término de generadores y relaciones. Al final del artículo estudiamos conexiones entre $\Pi$ y los grupos de Bianchi, el grupo dos-parabólico y un grupo de la teoría de la relatividad.
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## 1. Introduction

The parametrized modular group $\Pi$ is defined in [10] as the subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\xi])$ generated by

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \xi  \tag{1}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ is the polynomial ring over $\mathbb{Z}$ with $\xi$ as indeterminate. In the last section we describe some connections with the Picard group and other Bianchi groups using the results of R. G. Swan [14]. Furthermore we sketch the relation to discrete relativity theory and knot theory.

The previous paper [10] studied analytical properties of the singular set of $\Pi$ and the enumeration of the elements of $\Pi$, see Lemma 2.1 below. The present paper investigates $\Pi$ more in the spirit of combinatorial group theory [9] [7].

The exponent sums of a word $W \in \Pi$ with respect to the generators (1) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(W):=(\text { sum of exponents of } A \text { in } W) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which defines a homomorphism of $\Pi$ into the additive group $\mathbb{Z}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(W):=(\text { sum modulo } 4 \text { of exponents of } B \text { in } W) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which defines a homomorphism of $\Pi$ into the additive group $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$, note that $B^{4}=I$.

In particular we shall study the subgroups

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{k}:=\{W \in \Pi: \tau(W) \equiv(k-1) \sigma(W) \quad \bmod 4\} \quad(k=1,2,3,4) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and their common subgroup

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{0}:=\{W \in \Pi: \sigma(W) \equiv \tau(W) \equiv 0 \quad \bmod 4\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove that each $\Pi_{k}$ is a rank two free normal subgroup of index four in $\Pi$ and that $\Pi_{0}$ is a rank five free normal subgroup of index 4 in $\Pi_{k}(k=1,2,3,4)$.

Our main results are summarized in the following subgroup diagram where $[A, B]$ denotes the commutator.


See Theorem 2.2 for the first row, Theorem 3.3 for the second row and Theorem 3.1 for the third row. The other four intersections $\Pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{2}$ and so on are equal to $\Pi_{0}$ by Proposition 3.2.

The presentations in this diagram are canonical in the sense of [9, p.140]: If $X$ is a free group of rank $n$ and $Y$ is a subgroup of rank $m>n$ then there are generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of $X$ and generators $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}$ of $Y$ such that

$$
y_{\nu}=x_{\nu}^{d_{\nu}} z_{\nu}(1 \leq \nu \leq n), \quad y_{\nu}=z_{\nu}(n<\nu \leq m)
$$

where $z_{\nu}$ is a word in $Y$ and

$$
\sigma_{x_{\nu}}\left(z_{\mu}\right)=0 \text { for } 1 \leq \nu \leq n, 1 \leq \mu \leq m
$$

where $\sigma_{x_{\nu}}\left(z_{\mu}\right)$ is the exponent sums of $x_{v}$ in the word $z_{\mu}$.
We study other index four free subgroups of $\Pi$ that are not normal subgroups.

## 2. The subgroups $\Pi_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 8$

The derivation of our presentations relies on the following result. See formulas (2.6) and (2.7) in [10], note that any negative sign in $W$ is absorbed in $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ because $B^{2}=-I$.

Lemma 2.1. All words $W \in \Pi$ with $W \neq \pm I, \pm B$ have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=B^{e} A^{j_{n}} V \text { with } V=B A^{j_{n-1}} \cdots A^{j_{1}} B^{l} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e \in\{0,1\}, l \in\{0,1,2,3\}, j_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j_{n} \neq 0$.
First we study the groups $\Pi_{k}$ defined in (4). See Section 4.3 for the connection of $\Pi_{1}$ with the two-parabolic group.

Theorem 2.2. Let $k=1,2,3,4$. The group $\Pi_{k}$ is a free normal subgroup of index 4 in $\Pi$ with the free presentation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{k}=\left\langle A B^{k-1},[A, B]\right\rangle \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.3. Let $\Gamma_{k}=\left\langle A B^{k-1},[A, B]\right\rangle$. The generators in (7) can be replaced by other pairs of generators which we state in the following four lines.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{1}=\left\langle A, B A B^{-1}\right\rangle \text { because }[A, B]=A \cdot\left(B A B^{-1}\right)^{-1}, \\
& \Gamma_{2}=\langle A B, B A\rangle \text { because }[A, B]=A B \cdot(B A)^{-1} \\
& \Gamma_{3}=\left\langle-A,-B A B^{-1}\right\rangle \text { because }[A, B]=(-A) \cdot\left(-B A B^{-1}\right)^{-1}, \\
& \Gamma_{4}=\langle-A B,-B A\rangle \text { because }[A, B]=(-A B) \cdot(-B A)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. (a) Since $\sigma$ and $\tau$ are homomorphisms into additive groups it is clear from (4) that $\Pi_{k}$ is a normal subgroup. Furthermore

$$
\Pi_{k} B^{j}:=\{W \in \Pi: \tau(W) \equiv(k-1) \sigma(W)+j \quad \bmod 4\} \quad(j=0,1,2,3)
$$

are distinct cosets of $\Pi_{k}$ and their union is $\Pi$. Hence $\Pi_{k}$ has index 4 .
(b) Since $\sigma\left(B^{j}\right)=0$ and $\tau\left(B^{j}\right)=j \not \equiv 0$ for $j=1,2,3$, it follows from (4) that $B^{j} \notin \Pi_{k}$ for all $k$. If $W \in \Pi_{k}, W \neq \pm I, \pm B$, then $W$ has the form (6). It follows from [10, Lemma 2.2] that all these words are different. Hence $\Pi_{k}$ is a free group. Let $\Gamma_{k}=\left\langle A B^{k-1},[A, B]\right\rangle$.
(c) Now we show that, for $W \in \Pi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \in \Gamma_{k} \Longrightarrow \tau(W) \equiv(k-1) \sigma(W) \quad \bmod 4 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $\sigma\left(A B^{k-1}\right)=1, \tau\left(A B^{k-1}\right)=k-1$ and $\sigma([A, B])=\tau([A, B])=0$, which proves (8).
(d) Now we prove the converse, namely that, for $W \in \Pi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(W) \equiv(k-1) \sigma(W) \quad \bmod 4 \Longrightarrow W \in \Gamma_{1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall however use the alternative forms of the generators listed in Remark 2.3 above. We proceed by induction on the number $n$ of occurrences of the symbol $A$ in the representation (6). If $n=0$ then $\sigma(W)=0$ and (9) is trivial. Suppose that (9) holds when the number of occurrences of $A$ is $<n$. Now let there be $n$ occurrences of the symbol $A$. Below we shall show that there exists $U \in \Gamma_{k}$ such that $W^{\prime}=U^{-1} W$ has less than $n$ occurrences of the symbol $A$. By (4) applied to $U$ we have $\tau(U) \equiv(k-1) \sigma(U) \bmod 4$. Using the left-hand side of (9) we conclude that $\tau\left(W^{\prime}\right) \equiv(k-1) \sigma\left(W^{\prime}\right) \bmod 4$. By the induction hypothesis we have $W^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{k}$. It follows that $W=U W^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{k}$. Now we turn to the construction of $U$ for the different four cases. Let $W=B^{e} A^{j_{n}} V$ with $V=B A^{j_{n-1}} \cdots A^{j_{1}} B^{l}$ as described in (6). (d1) Let $k=1$. We define

$$
U:=B^{e} A^{j_{n}} B^{-e}=\left(B^{e} A B^{-e}\right)^{j_{n}} \in \Pi_{1}
$$

and we have $W^{\prime}=U^{-1} W=B^{e} V$ which is shorter than $W$. (d2) Let $k=2$. We define

$$
U:=B^{e} A^{j_{n}} B^{j_{n}-e}
$$

and use that $B^{2}=-I$. If $j_{n}=2 q$

$$
U=B^{e}(A A)^{q}\left(B^{2}\right)^{q} B^{-e}=B^{e}(A(-I) A)^{q} B^{-e}=B^{e}(A B \cdot B A)^{q} B^{-e} \in \Pi_{2}
$$

If $j_{n}=2 q+1$ then

$$
U:=B^{e}(A A)^{q} A\left(B^{2}\right)^{q} B B^{-e}=B^{e}(A B \cdot B A)^{q}(A B) B^{-e} \in \Pi_{2}
$$

Therefore, in both cases

$$
W^{\prime}=B^{e-j_{n}} A^{-j_{n}} B^{-e} B^{e} A^{j_{n}} V=B^{e-j_{n}} V
$$

so $W^{\prime}$ is shorter than $W$. (d3) Finally let $k=3$ or $k=4$. We see from the remark after Theorem 2.2 that the generators to be obtained are the same as for the cases $k=1$ and $k=2$ except for different signs. This difference only changes the exponent $l$ of $B$ in (6) so that we can argue as above.

Proposition 2.4. Let $j=1,2,3,4$. The group

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{j+4}:=\left\langle A B^{j-1},-[A, B]\right\rangle \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a free subgroup of index 4 in $\Pi$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \Pi_{j+4} B^{-1}=\Pi_{j^{\prime}+4} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $j^{\prime}=j+2 \bmod 4$ if $j \neq 2$ and $j^{\prime}=4$ if $j=2$.

Proof. We write $C:=[A, B]$. Since $\Pi_{j}$ is a free group there is a unique homomorphism $\varphi_{j}: \Pi_{j} \rightarrow \Pi$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{j}\left(A B^{j-1}\right)=A B^{j-1}, \varphi_{j}(C)=-C, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [9, p.48]. Hence we have $\Pi_{j+4}=\varphi_{j}\left(\Pi_{j}\right)$ by (10). Since the generators of $\Pi_{j}$ and $\Pi_{j+4}$ only differ by the sign of $C$ we have $\varphi_{j}(W)= \pm W$ for $W \in \Pi_{j}$. Now suppose that $\varphi_{j}(W)=I$. Then $W= \pm I$ where $-I$ is not possible because $\Pi_{j}$ is a free group. It follows that $W=I$. Hence $\varphi_{j}$ is an isomorphism so that $\Pi_{j+4}$ is also a free group. As in part (a) of the proof of Theorem 7 we can prove that $\Pi_{j+4}$ has index 4 in $\Pi$. Since $B C B^{-1}=C^{-1}$ it follows from (10) that

$$
B \Pi_{j+4} B^{-1}=\left\langle B A B^{j-2},-C^{-1}\right\rangle=\left\langle B A B^{j-2},-C\right\rangle
$$

in the last step we replaced the generator $-C^{-1}$ by its inverse. By another Tietze transformation we can replace $B A B^{j-2}$ by

$$
-C \cdot B A B^{j-2}=A B A^{-1} B^{-1} \cdot B A B^{j-2} \cdot B^{2}=A B^{j+1}=A B^{j-1+2}
$$

and (11) follows from (7).

Remark 2.5. We mention, without proof, that the eight groups $\Pi_{i}$, for $1 \leq$ $i \leq 8$, are the only index four free subgroups of $\Pi$.

## 3. The intersections of these subgroups

Now we turn to the subgroups of $\Pi_{k}(k=1,2,3,4)$. First we study the group defined by (5).

Theorem 3.1. The group $\Pi_{0}$ is a free normal subgroup of index 16 in $\Pi$ and has the free presentation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{0}=\left\langle A^{4},[A, B],\left[A^{-1}, B\right],\left[A^{2}, B\right],\left[A^{-2}, B\right]\right\rangle \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a) It follows from (4) and (5) that $\Pi_{0}$ is a normal subgroup. The 16 sets $\{W: \sigma(W)=j, \tau(W)=k\}(j, k=0,1,2,3)$ form a complete coset system of $\Pi_{0}$ in $\Pi$. Hence $\Pi_{0}$ has index 16 in $\Pi$. Since $\Pi_{1}$ has index 4 in $\Pi$ it follows that $\Pi_{0}$ has index 4 in $\Pi_{1}$. The free group $\Pi_{1}$ has rank 2 by (8). Hence it follows [9, Th.2.10] that $\Pi_{0}$ is free of rank $4(2-1)+1=5$. Therefore the 5 generators in (13) are free generators.
(b) Let $\Gamma$ be the group with the presentation in (13). Each of the words $W$ in (13) satisfies $\sigma(W) \equiv \tau(W) \equiv 0 \bmod 4$. Hence it follows from (5) that $\Gamma \subset \Pi_{0}$. All $W \in \Pi$ with $W \neq \pm I, \pm B$ have the form (6). We shall prove $\Pi_{0} \subset \Gamma$ again by induction on the number $n$ of occurrences of the symbol $A$. In view of (13) we have $A^{4} \in \Gamma$ and

$$
B A^{4} B^{-1}=B A^{2} \cdot A^{2} B^{-1}=\left[A^{2}, B\right]^{-1} A^{4}\left[A^{-2}, B\right] \in \Gamma
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{4 q} \in \Gamma, B A^{4 q} B^{-1} \in \Gamma(q \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $W \in \Pi_{0} \Longrightarrow W \in \Gamma$ is true if $W$ has $<n$ occurrences of $A$. Let $W=B^{e} A^{j_{n}} V$, with $V=B A^{j_{n-1}} B \ldots A^{j_{1}} B^{l}$ as described in (6). We write

$$
j_{n}=4 q+r, q \in \mathbb{Z}, r=-1,0,1,2 .
$$

If $e=0$, then

$$
W=A^{4 q+r} V=A^{4 q} \cdot A^{r} B A^{-r} B^{-1} \cdot B A^{r} A^{j_{n-1}} B \cdots A^{j_{1}} B^{l}=A^{4 q}\left[A^{r}, B\right] V^{\prime}
$$

where $V^{\prime}=A^{r+j_{n-1}} B \cdots A^{j_{1}} B^{l}$ has the form (6) with $n-1$ ocurrences of $A$. Since the factors of $V^{\prime}$ belong to $\Gamma$ by (14), it follows that $W \in \Gamma$. If $e=1$, then

$$
W=B A^{4 q+r} V=B A^{4 q} B^{-1} \cdot B A^{r} B^{-1} A^{-r} \cdot A^{r} B V=B A^{4 q} B^{-1} \cdot\left[A^{r}, B\right]^{-1} V^{\prime}
$$

where $V^{\prime}=A^{r} B V=A^{r} B^{2} A^{j_{n-1}} B \operatorname{cdots} A^{j_{1}} B^{l}=A^{r+j_{n-1}} B \cdots A^{j_{1}} B^{l+2}$ has the form (6) with $n-1$ ocurrences of $A$. By (14) the factors before $V^{\prime}$ are in $\Gamma$. Hence $W \in \Gamma$.

Proposition 3.2. The group $\Pi_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{0}=\Pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{2}=\Pi_{2} \cap \Pi_{3}=\Pi_{3} \cap \Pi_{4}=\Pi_{4} \cap \Pi_{1} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is a normal subgroup of index 4 in each $\Pi_{k}(k=1,2,3,4)$.
Proof. We abbreviate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\sigma \equiv m, \tau \equiv n\}:=\{W \in \Pi: \sigma(W) \equiv m, \tau(W) \equiv n \quad \bmod 4\} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (8) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{2}=\{\tau \equiv 0\} \cap\{\tau \equiv \sigma\}=\{0 \equiv \tau \equiv \sigma\}=\Pi_{0} \\
& \Pi_{2} \cap \Pi_{3}=\{\tau \equiv \sigma\} \cap\{\tau \equiv 2 \sigma\}=\{\sigma \equiv 0 \equiv \tau\}=\Pi_{0}, \\
& \Pi_{3} \cap \Pi_{4}=\{\tau \equiv 2 \sigma\} \cap\{\tau \equiv 3 \sigma\}=\{\sigma \equiv 0, \tau \equiv 0\}=\Pi_{0}, \\
& \Pi_{4} \cap \Pi_{1}=\{\tau \equiv 3 \sigma\}\{\tau \equiv 0\}=\{\tau \equiv 0, \sigma \equiv 0\}=\Pi_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see from (15) that $\Pi_{0}$ is a subgroup of all $\Pi_{k}$ which is normal because all definitions are in terms of $\sigma$ and $\tau$.

We see from Proposition 3.2 that four of the six possible intersections of the groups $\Pi_{k}$ are equal to $\Pi_{0}$. The remaining two intersections however lead to new groups.

Theorem 3.3. We have the free presentations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{3}=\left\langle A^{2},[A, B],\left[A^{2}, B\right]\right\rangle, \quad \Pi_{2} \cap \Pi_{4}=\left\langle A^{2} B^{2},[A, B],\left[A^{2}, B\right]\right\rangle \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Pi_{0}$ has index 2 in these two groups.
Proof. (a) First we show that $\supset$ holds in (17). It follows from (4) that, with the notation (16),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{3}=\{\sigma \equiv 0,2, \tau \equiv 0\}, \quad \Pi_{2} \cap \Pi_{4}=\{\sigma \equiv 0,2, \tau \equiv \sigma\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generators that occur in (17) satisfy these conditions. (b) Now we prove that $\subset$ holds in (17). To simplify the proof we write

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Gamma:=\left\langle A^{2},[A, B],\left[A^{2}, B\right]\right\rangle, s=+1 & \text { in the case } \Pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{3} \\
\Gamma:=\left\langle A^{2} B^{2},[A, B],\left[A^{2}, B\right]\right\rangle, s=-1 & \text { in the case } \Pi_{2} \cap \Pi_{4} . \tag{19}
\end{array}
$$

Then the assertion (17) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\left\{W \in \Pi: \sigma(W) \equiv 0,2, \tau(W) \equiv \frac{1}{2}(1-s) \sigma(W) \quad \bmod 4\right\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we derive an identity. Since

$$
s A^{-2}\left[A^{2}, B\right]=s A^{-2} A^{2} B A^{-2} B^{-1}=B\left(s A^{-2}\right) B^{-1}
$$

we obtain from (19) and (20) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(s A^{-2}\right)^{q} B^{-1} \in \Gamma(q \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall use the notation of Lemma 6 and proceed by induction on $n$. Let $n=1$. In the case $e=0$ it follows from (20) with $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ that $W=A^{j_{1}} B^{l_{1}}=$ $A^{2 q} B^{(1-s) q} \in \Gamma$. In the case $e=1$ we obtain from (19) and (21) that $W=$ $B A^{j_{1}} B^{l_{1}}=B\left(s A^{2}\right)^{q} B^{-1} \in \Gamma$. Now we assume that our assertion holds for $n-1$. There are four cases where always $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $W=A^{2 q} B A^{j_{n-1}} B \cdots$ then we write

$$
W=\left(s A^{2}\right)^{q} V, V=s^{q} B A^{j_{n-1}} \cdots
$$

If $W=A^{2 q+1} B A^{j_{n-1}} B \cdots$ then we write

$$
W=\left(s A^{2}\right)^{q}[A, B] V, V=s^{q} B A^{j_{n-1}+1} \cdots .
$$

If $W=B A^{2 q} B A^{j_{n-1}} B \cdots$ then we write

$$
W=\left(s A^{2}\right)^{q} B^{-1} V, V=s^{q} B A^{j_{n-1}} \cdots
$$

If $W=B A^{2 q+1} B A^{j_{n-1}} B \cdots$ then we write

$$
W=\left(s A^{2}\right)^{q} B^{-1}[A, B] V, V=s^{q} B A^{j_{n-1}+1} \cdots
$$

We check that $V$ satisfies (20) in all four cases so that $V \in \Gamma$. In the first two cases, the factor before $V$ lies in $\Gamma$ because of (19). In the last two cases we also use (21) to obtain the same conclusion. Since $V \in \Gamma$ by the induccion hypothesis we see that $W \in \Gamma$ holds in all cases. (c) Since the groups $\Pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{3}$ and $\Pi_{2} \cap \Pi_{4}$ lie properly between the groups $\Pi_{k}$ on the one hand and their subgroup $\Pi_{0}$ of index 4 on the other hand, it follows that $\Pi_{0}$ has index 2 in our groups.

Proposition 3.4. The commutator subgroup $\Pi^{\prime}$ has infinite index in $\Pi$.
Proof. Let $\Gamma:=\{W \in \Pi: \sigma(W)=\tau(W)=0\}$, here we do not consider congruences. Then $\Pi^{\prime} \subset \Gamma$ and all cosets $\Gamma A^{4 k}$ are disjoint. We conclude that $\left|\Pi: \Pi^{\prime}\right| \geq\left|\Gamma: \Pi^{\prime}\right|=\infty$.

The situation is often quite different in other contexts. For instance for the Picard group (see below), the first three commutator subgroups have finite index [3, Th.1].

## 4. Connection with other groups

The groups $\Pi(\zeta)$ are obtained by replacing the indeterminate $\xi$ in $\Pi$ by the complex number $\zeta$. For instance $\Pi(1)$ and $\Pi(2)$ lead to classical modular groups. Many groups are obtained by combining groups $\Pi(\zeta)$ with different values of $\zeta$ as we will see below.
4.1. The Bianchi groups. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be square-free and let $O_{d}$ be the ring of integers of the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. We now consider the Bianchi groups $\mathrm{SL}\left(2, O_{d}\right)$ but only for the $d$ that allow an euclidean algorithm, namely $d=$ $1,2,3,7,11$, see [14] [2, Chapter 4]. The case $d=1$ is the Picard group, here considered in $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, see for instance [2, Chapt. 5] [3] [5]. It will be convenient to enlarge our groups $\Pi(\zeta)$ by adding the generator $A(1)$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\Pi^{+}(\zeta)$ be the group generated by $A(1), A(\zeta)$ and $B$.
(1) If $d=1$ then $\Pi^{+}(1+i)=\operatorname{SL}\left(2, O_{1}\right)$.
(2) If $d=3$ then $\Pi^{+}(\omega)=\operatorname{SL}\left(2, O_{3}\right)$ where $\omega=\frac{1}{2}(-1+i \sqrt{3})$.
(3) If $d=2,7,11$ then $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, O_{d}\right)=\Pi^{+}(\omega)$ where $\omega=i \sqrt{d}$ for $d=2$ and where $\omega=\frac{1}{2}(1+i \sqrt{d})$ for $d=7,11$.

Proof. The cases $d=2,7,11$ are due to Swan but the cases $d=1,3$ are new, Swan had an additional generator, see [14, p.64-71] or [2, Chapt.4]. In a later paper it will be proved the cases $d=1,3$.
4.2. Discrete space-time. The Schild group $\Sigma$ is the subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ that leaves the discrete space-time grid $\{(t, x, y, z): t, x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ invariant under the Lorentz transformation

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t+z & x+i y  \tag{22}\\
x-i y & t-z
\end{array}\right) \mapsto S X S^{*}, \quad S \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})
$$

See [13][6][4]. The Schild group $\Sigma$ is generated by

$$
A(1+i),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(1-i) / 2 & (1-i) / 2 \\
-(1+i) / 2 & (1+i) / 2
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -(1-i) / \sqrt{2} \\
(1+i) / \sqrt{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The last two matrices generate a subgroup of order 24.
Proposition 4.2. The group

$$
\Sigma_{1}:=\langle A(1+i), A(1-i), B, Q\rangle, \quad Q:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i & 0 \\
0 & -i
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a subgroup of index 6 in the Schild group $\Sigma$ and is also a subgroup of index 3 in the Picard group $\mathrm{SL}\left(2, O_{1}\right)$. Furthermore $\langle A(1+i), A(1-i), B\rangle$ is a subgroup of index 2 in $\Sigma_{1}$.

Proof. The first two assertions were proved in [4, Sect.4]. The group $\Sigma_{1}$ is similar to the Picard group discussed in Section 4.1, only the generators $A(1), A(i)$ are replaced by $A(1+i), A(1-i)$. This will be proved in a later paper.

For the Lorentz transformation (22), the generators $B$ and $Q$ of $\Sigma_{1}$ have a simple physical interpretation, namely

$$
B: x \mapsto-x, y \mapsto y, z \mapsto-z, \quad Q: x \mapsto-x, y \mapsto-y, z \mapsto z
$$

whereas the time $t$ remains unchanged. But $t \mapsto 2 t+x \mp y-z$ holds for $A(1 \pm i)$. We remark that the groups $A(1)$ and $A(i)$ are not subgroups of $\Sigma_{1}$.
4.3. The two-parabolic group. We consider the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. The two-parabolic group is the subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[x])$ generated by $X:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ x & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $Y:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$; see e.g. [12][11]. Up to conjugation in $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[x])$, this is the only subgroup generated by two parabolic matrices with distinct fixed points, see [11].

The subgroup $\Pi_{1}$ of $\Pi$ was studied in Section 2. It is generated by $A$ and $D:=B A^{-1} B^{-1}$. If $V:=\left(\begin{array}{lll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in \Pi_{1}$ then $b$ and $c$ are odd polynomials [10, (2.15)]. Hence

$$
\varphi(V):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b / \xi  \tag{23}\\
c \xi & d
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[x]), \quad x=\xi^{2}
$$

is well-defined and it can be checked that $\varphi$ is a homomorphism. It follows from (23) that $\varphi(D)=X, \varphi(A)=Y$. Hence $\varphi\left(\Pi_{1}\right)$ is the two-parabolic group.

The two-parabolic group is of interest in knot theory, see e.g. [1] [8, Chapt.4]. A knot $K$ is a Jordan curve in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. In many cases a discrete group $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is associated with the knot complement $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash K$. For a 2-bridge knot a "Wirtinger word" $W \in \varphi\left(\Pi_{1}\right)$ partially describes the knot $K$. To get $\Gamma$ one chooses $x$ such that the relation $X W=W Y$ is satisfied.

For example, for the $\operatorname{knot} 4_{1}=(5,3)$ we have $W=Y X^{-1} Y^{-1} X$ and $x=\frac{1}{2}(1+i \sqrt{3})$ [11, Example 8]. Going back to $\Pi_{1}$ we have the relation $D A D^{-1} A^{-1} D=A D^{-1} A^{-1} D A$ and obtain $\Gamma=\varphi\left(\Pi_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} i \sqrt{3}\right)\right)$.
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